Association between ESBLs Genes and Quinolone Resistance in Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Patients with Urinary Tract Infection

**ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common infections worldwide. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between the ESBLs genes and quinolone resistance in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from patients with urinary tract infection.

**Materials & Methods:** A total of 150 *E. coli* isolates were collected from patients with urinary tract infection, referring to Firouzgar hospital in Tehran, Iran. Antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates was determined by disk diffusion method. Double-disk diffusion test was performed for phenotypic identification of extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing isolates. PCR was used for the detection of ESBL-encoding and quinolone (*qnr*) resistance genes.

**Findings:** There was a high resistance rate to most of the studied antimicrobial agents. Phenotypically, 75% of the isolates produced an ESBL enzyme and were resistant to different antimicrobial classes. Overall, 83% of the isolates carried ESBL genes, especially *bla*<sub>TEM</sub> and *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub>. Also, 75% of the isolates were positive for the presence of quinolone resistance genes, including *qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrS*, and *qepA*. The present study results indicated the association between the presence of various ESBLs genes and quinolone resistance in uropathogenic *E. coli* strains.

**Conclusion:** Resistance patterns showed an increase in the incidence of antibacterial resistance in *E. coli* strains. The current study results indicated the high prevalence rate of ESBL-producing isolates and quinolone resistance genes. Simultaneous presence of genes responsible for antibacterial resistance has made the treatment of UTI more challenging than before.
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Introduction
Urinary tract infection (UTI) is considered as one of the most common infections worldwide. *Escherichia coli* accounts for more than 80% of UTIs and is therefore considered as the most common cause of this infection [1]. Routine antibacterial treatment of UTI could reduce the mortality rate of these infections [2]. The overuse and misuse of antibiotics are considered as a contributing factor to the increase in the prevalence of uropathogenic strains such as multidrug resistant extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing UPEC strains, limiting the antibacterial treatment of UTI. In recent years, fluoroquinolones have been commonly used as a suitable medication for the treatment of UTIs, but their widespread use has led to antibacterial resistance in bacteria. Quinolone resistance in family Enterobacteriaceae is mostly due to the mutations in topoisomerase-encoding chromosomal genes [3]. However, recent studies have suggested the mediation of plasmid genes in quinolone resistance [4]. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) was initially detected in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates in the United States [5]. Two groups of PMQR genes were detected, including *qepA* and *qnr*; each group harbored subgroup genes. *qnr* proteins (*qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrS*, *qnrD*, and *qnrC*) belong to the pentapeptide repeat protein (PRP) family with the ability to inhibit the effect of quinolones on DNA gyrase and DNA topoisomerase IV enzymes [5]. Three types of *qnr* genes have been detected in family Enterobacteriaceae, including *qnrS*, *qnrB*, and *qnrA* [5]. *qepA* is also a proton-dependent transmitter gene with the ability to increase the MIC of hydrophilic fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin) for up to 32 or 64 times [6]. Studies have shown a strong positive correlation between the presence of *qnr* genes and ESBL-producing isolates [7]. In fact, PMQR genes are prevalently found in ESBL-producing isolates worldwide [8]. It has been also reported that *qnr* plasmids harbor a variety of beta-lactamase genes. Since many antibacterial resistance genes are carried by plasmids, ESBL-producing isolates show simultaneous resistance to various antibiotics [9]. The emergence of fluoroquinolone resistance has hampered the effective treatment of UTIs, subsequently leading to the increased duration of hospitalization and mortality rate of patients with UTIs. Rapid identification of ESBL-producing isolates is of great concern for infection control and antibiotics proper choice [10]. Hence, the identification of beta-lactamase enzymes are necessary for the prevention from the increase in antibacterial resistance rate and for the proper use of antibiotics [11].

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of beta-lactamase-encoding (TEM, SHV, CTX-M) and quinolone resistance-encoding (*qepA*, *qnrS*, *qnrB*, *qnrA*) genes in beta-lactamase-producing UPEC strains isolated from patients with UTIs.

Materials and Methods

Collection and identification of isolates: In this cross-sectional study, 150 urine samples were collected from patients with asymptomatic UTI, referring to Firouzgar hospital, Tehran, Iran from February 2018 to May 2018. Mid-stream urine samples were collected from patients who did not take any antibiotics 30 days prior to sampling. Urine samples were analyzed in lab, and patients were diagnosed with UTI after the detection of *E. coli* strains. Biochemical tests were performed for the isolates to confirm the identity of UPEC isolates.

Determination of antibiotic resistance: Susceptibility testing was performed for ceftazidime (30 µg), cefotaxime (30 µg), cefixime (5 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), norfloxacin (10 µg), and nalidixic acid (30 µg) (Mast Co., UK) using...
disk diffusion method. For each test, *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a control.

**Determination of ESBL-producing isolates:** In order to identify ESBL-producing isolates, screening tests were initially performed using cefotaxime and ceftazidime disks according to the CLSI (2018) guideline [12]. Then confirmation tests were performed using double-disk diffusion method. *E. coli* ATCC 35218 was used as a quality control for ESBL-producing isolates.

**Primer design, DNA extraction, and PCR:** Genomic DNA was extracted using DNA extraction kit (Roche, Germany). The presence of TEM, SHV, CTX-M, qnrA, qnrB, qnrS, and qepA genes was determined by PCR test using specific primers designed in this study. PCR reaction was performed on a final volume of 25 µl consisting of 12.5 µl mastermix (Amplicon, USA), 2 µl of each primer (Forward and Reverse), 2 µl bacterial DNA, and 6.5 µl sterile distilled water. The program consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, annealing step (annealing Tₘ for each primer is shown in Table1) for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were then analyzed on 1% agarose gel after electrophoresis.

**Statistical analysis:** Data were analyzed by Chi-square tests using SPSS software ver.20. P value<0.05 was considered as significant.

**Findings**

**Susceptibility testing:** UPEC isolates showed the highest resistance rate to cefotaxime (94%) and the maximum sensitivity to norfloxacin (63.5%). The prevalence of resistance to ceftazidime, nalidixic acid, cefexime, ceftriaxone, and ciprofloxacin was 88%, 86.5%, 84%, 83%, and 68.75%, respectively.

**ESBL production:** The results of Double Disk Synergy Test (DDST) showed that 75% (105 of 150) of the isolates were ESBL producers. The results of disk diffusion method showed that quinolone resistance rate was higher in ESBL-producing isolates (Table 2). The statistically significant

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5' to 3')</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Annealing temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTX-M</td>
<td>F: AAGCCACGCTAATGGGACGAT R: CCTTAGGTGGAGGTGGGCT</td>
<td>478 bp</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHV</td>
<td>F: ATCTCCCTCTTAGCCACCT R: CAGCTGCTTTGCTGTTTG</td>
<td>84 bp</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>F: GGGAACCGGAGCTGAATGAA R: CAGTGCTCAATGATAACGC</td>
<td>254 bp</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qnrA</td>
<td>F: GAGCAATGGAATCTACCGG R: CAGATCGCAAAAGGTCAGGT</td>
<td>121 bp</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qnrB</td>
<td>F: TTCCCGAGGGCAAGTTTGA R: TACCCATCCAGGTTTTCCC</td>
<td>146 bp</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qnrS</td>
<td>F: GGTGCCAACTTTTCCGGAAC R: CCGGGACTTAAACCCTGAG</td>
<td>284 bp</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qepA</td>
<td>F: CGGTATCGCATCTCGCTG R: GATACAGATACTCGGGGAGG</td>
<td>327 bp</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: Forward; R: Reverse
difference between the ESBL production and antibiotic resistance is illustrated in Table 2. **Prevalence of resistance genes by PCR method:** In UPEC isolates, the frequency of TEM, SHV, and CTX genes was 83.3%, 12.5%, and 79.12%, respectively. Moreover, 83% of the isolates harbored at least one of the above mentioned resistance genes. In addition, 75% (113 of 150) of the isolates carried qnr gene; among which 44.66%, 20.3%, and 16.25% of the isolates carried qnrA, qnrB, and qnrS genes, respectively. Interestingly, only 2.6% of the isolates carried qepA gene. **Prevalence of beta-lactamase and quinolone-resistance genes in ESBL- and non ESBL-producing isolates:** A statistically significant correlation was found between the ESBL production and the presence of qnrA and CTX-M resistance genes in ESBL- and non ESBL-producing isolates (p value<0.05) (Table3). The prevalence of quinolone resistance genes in ESBL- and non ESBL-producing isolates is presented in Table 4.

**Table 2** Antibacterial resistance in ESBL- and non ESBL-producing isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial agents</th>
<th>ESBL+, n=105</th>
<th>ESBL-, n=15</th>
<th>Total, n=150</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nalidixic acid</td>
<td>74.28 (78)</td>
<td>46.67 (7)</td>
<td>56.6 (85)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>62.85 (66)</td>
<td>26.66 (4)</td>
<td>46.6 (70)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfloxacin</td>
<td>30.47 (32)</td>
<td>20 (3)</td>
<td>23.3 (35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefixime</td>
<td>83.81(88)</td>
<td>6.67 (1)</td>
<td>59.3(89)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>85.71(90)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>60(90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>90.48(95)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>63.3(95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>96.19(101)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>67.3(101)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESBL, extended spectrum ß-lactamase; NS, not significant

**Association between the presence of antibiotic resistance genes and antibiotic resistance profile in UPEC isolates:** After evaluating the distribution of antibiotic resistance genes among UPEC isolates, a significant association was found between the ceftriaxone resistance and the presence of SHV gene. A correlation was also found between the resistance to cefixime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, and cefotaxime and the presence of CTX-M gene. There was also a significant association between the resistance to cefotaxime, nalidixic acid, and ciprofloxacin and the presence of qnrB gene. Finally, resistance to norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, cefixime, ceftazidime, and cefotaxime was found to be significantly associated with the presence of qnrS gene. **Association between the qnr genes and ESBL production:** There was a significant association between the presence of TEM, qnrB, and qnrS genes. There was also a positive correlation between the presence of SHV and qnrB genes. Also, a significant
association was found between the presence of CTM-M, qnrA, and qnrB genes.

Discussion

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is a common hospital- and community-acquired infection with high mortality rate and high medical expenses [13]. Epidemiological studies have shown a high prevalence of UTI caused by UPEC, isolated from 80% of all infections [14]. Although quinolones are commonly prescribed for the treatment of UTIs caused by E. coli and other Enterobacteriaceae, antibacterial resistance has become a challenge in the treatment of these infections [15, 16]. In the current study, the prevalence of isolates resistant to nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, and norfloxacin was 56.6%, 46.6%, and 23.3%, respectively. In a study by Cao et al. (2011) in China, ciprofloxacin resistance rate was reported as 75% in E. coli isolates [17]. In another study by Colonder et al. (2008), ciprofloxacin resistance rate was reported as 50% [18]. These results are in accordance with the present study results.

Moreover, the prevalence rate of resistance to other antibiotics including cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, and cefixime was 67.3%, 63.3%, 60%, and 59.3%, respectively. Babaei et al. (2012) reported that the prevalence of resistance to ceftriaxone, cefixime, and ceftazidime was 100%, 93.33%, and 88.33%, respectively. They showed an increase in the incidence of resistance to third-generation cephalosporins, including cefixime, ceftriaxone, and ceftazidime [19]. The current study also indicated a high resistance rate to cephalosporins. This finding may be due to the increase in the prevalence of ESBL production.

Currently, ESBL-producing UPEC isolates are a challenge worldwide. In the current study, 70% (105 of 150) of the isolates were ESBL-producers. PCR results indicated that among the all 150 isolates, the prevalence of CTX-M, TEM, and SHV genes was 79.12%, 83.3%, and 12.5%, respectively. In addition, among the 105 ESBL-producing isolates, 17 (16%) isolates carried CTX-M, TEM, and SHV genes, simultaneously. Furthermore, among the ESBL-producers, 49 (52.1%) isolates carried CTX-M and TEM genes, 8 (8.5%) isolates carried TEM and SHV genes, and 11 (11.7%) isolates carried SHV and CTX-M genes. Furthermore, 4 (2.6%) isolates carried qepA gene. It was found that there was a significant association between the ESBL production and the presence of CTX-M gene (p<0.05).

The results of molecular and phenotypical tests performed for ESBL identification showed that among the 105 ESBL-producing isolates detected by phenotypical tests, 15 isolates lacked CTX-M, TEM, and SHV genes. This finding could be explained by other resistance mechanisms such as the presence of other beta-lactamase genes whose activity can be inhibited by clavulanic acid [21]. In addition, 45 isolates were non-ESBL producers; among which 41 cases carried at least one of the CTX-M, TEM, and SHV genes. One explanation for this phenomenon could be the presence of ampC beta-lactamase gene causing tolerance to the inhibitory effect of clavulanic acid. The other reason may be the occurrence of mutations in the aforementioned genes [22, 23, 24].

Paltancing et al. (2012) studied 49 E. coli isolates; among which 29 (59.2%) cases were ESBL-producers [25]. Yazdi et al. (2012) showed that among the 109 ESBL-producers, SHV, CTX-M, and TEM genes were present in 70.6%, 68.8%, and 87.1% of the isolates, respectively [26]. In the current study, TEM and CTX genes were the most prevalent genes in UPEC isolates. The results of various studies indicated the important role of these genes in antibacterial resistance [27]. In Sedighi et al.’s (2015) study, the prevalence rate of ESBL-producing isolates was...
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In their study, *CTX-M* was the most common gene with the prevalence of 66.7%. In addition, the prevalence rate of *TEM* and *SHV* genes was 40.8% and 20.8%, respectively \([28]\). In another study in 2009 conducted on outpatients and inpatients in Tehran, Iran, 37.8% of ESBL-producing isolates carried *CTX-M* gene \([29]\). The present and previous studies results showed an increasing trend in the prevalence of ESBL-producing isolates and *CTX-M* beta-lactamase gene. Paterson *et al.* also found a significant correlation between the fluoroquinolone resistance and ESBL production \([29]\). In the current study, among the 120 UPEC isolates, 29 isolates were simultaneously resistant to nalidixic acid, norfloxacin, and ciprofloxacin; among which 27 isolates were ESBL-producers. Statistical analysis showed a significant association between the ESBL production and resistance to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin. In addition, there was a significant association between the ESBL production and the presence of *qnr*A gene.

Overall, antibacterial resistance pattern among the UPEC isolates indicated a statistically significant association between the ESBL production and resistance to ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, cefixime, nalidixic acid, cefepime, and ciprofloxacin. The current study showed a high prevalence rate of quinolone resistance (60%, 72 of 120) in UPEC isolates; among which 45.8%, 10.8%, and 29.1% carried *qnr*A, *qnr*B, and *qnr*S genes, respectively. Sedighi et al. (2014) indicated that the prevalence of *qnr*B and *qnr*S genes in 150 *E. coli* isolates was 6.6% and 5%, respectively. In their study, *qepA* was present in 2.6% of the isolates. In 2007, *qepA* efflux pump was initially found in two *E. coli* isolates in Japan and Belgium \([30, 31]\). A new variant called QepA2 was also found in France \([31]\). Therefore, this gene has not been widely distributed, and most studies have reported the low prevalence of this gene \([32, 33, 34]\).

In a study by Bouchakour *et al.* (2010), the prevalence rate of *qnr* gene was reported to be 37.8% among the 83 ESBL-producing *E. coli* strains \([35]\). This prevalence rate was lower than that reported in the current study.

### Table 3) Prevalence of beta-lactamase genes and quinolone resistance genes in ESBL- and non ESBL-producing isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>TEM</th>
<th>SHV</th>
<th>CTX-M</th>
<th>qnrA</th>
<th>qnrB</th>
<th>qnrS</th>
<th>qepA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESBL +</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(66%)</td>
<td>(11.33%)</td>
<td>(75.33%)</td>
<td>(37%)</td>
<td>(14%)</td>
<td>(17%)</td>
<td>(0.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBL -</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(17.33%)</td>
<td>(1.33%)</td>
<td>(4%)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
<td>(3.33%)</td>
<td>(0.66%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4) Prevalence of quinolone resistance in ESBL- and non ESBL-producing isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quinolones</th>
<th>Nalidixic acid</th>
<th>Norfloxacin</th>
<th>Ciprofloxacin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isolate</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBL +</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(73.5%)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td>(27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBL -</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13%)</td>
<td>(3.33%)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R: Resistant; S: Sensitive
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The present study results showed a high prevalence of PMQR genes in ESBL-producing E. coli isolates in Tehran, Iran. Although the presence of qepA gene has been rarely reported in Iran, this gene was detected in the isolates under study. There was a positive correlation between the resistance to beta-lactams and quinolones, suggesting the transfer of quinolone resistance genes along with plasmids carrying ESBL genes. Identification of UPEC isolates with ESBL genes indicated the emergence of high resistance to quinolones.

Conclusion
Resistance patterns showed an increase in the prevalence of antibacterial resistance in E. coli strains. The current study results indicated a high prevalence of ESBL-producing isolates and quinolone resistance genes. Moreover, a high prevalence of quinolone resistance genes and a low prevalence of qepA gene were indicated in this study. Simultaneous presence of genes responsible for antibacterial resistance has made UTI treatment more challenging than before.
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