

# Molecular Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Clinical Samples Based on *16srRNA, rpoB,* and *hsp70* Genes by MLSA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article Type Original Research

Authors

Elham Hoseiny Khorram Abadi<sup>1</sup>, *MSc*, **S**aeed Zaker Bostan Abad <sup>2\*</sup>, *PhD* 

How to cite this article

Hoseiny Khorram Abadi E, Zaker Bostan Abad S. Molecular Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Clinical Samples Based on *16srRNA*, *rpoB*, and *hsp70* Genes by MLSA. Infection Epidemiology and Microbiology. 2019;5(3):27-38

<sup>1</sup>Department of biology, school of Basic Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of biotechnology, School of Basic Sciences, Parand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

#### \* Correspondence

Address: Department of Microbiology, Shiraz branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran Fax: +98 21 88951392 Phone: +9821 4293 3150 E-mail: saeedzaker20@yahoo.com

#### Article History

Received: July 27,2019 Accepted: September 27,2019 Published: October 12,2019

#### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** *Staphylococcus aureus* is a Gram-positive bacterium with the capability of causing a variety of nosocomial and community-acquired infections. Evaluating the genetic structure, polymorphism, genotyping, and phylogeny of *S. aureus* isolates could contribute to the prevention and treatment of infections caused by this microorganism.

**Materials & Methods:** In this study, the polymorphisms of *16S rRNA, rpoB,* and *hsp70* genes were investigated in a total of 50 *S. aureus* isolates using *S. aureus* NCTC 8325 as the reference strain. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used for the detection and amplification of the studied genes. The amplicons were then sequenced using a Sanger sequencing method. Moreover, phylogeny of the isolates was studied using Neighborjoining and Maximum Parsimony methods for *16S rRNA, rpoB*, and *hsp70* genes individually and in combination.

**Findings:** After Sanger sequencing, data obtained by Sequencher and Mesquite software programs revealed several polymorphisms of *S. aureus* isolates 16S rRNA, rpoB, and hsp70 genes, respectively. These polymorphisms included transversion, transition, insertion, and deletion. Among the studied strains, 10 cases showed no polymorphism. Multi-locus sequence analysis (MLSA) showed several genetic diversities in *S. aureus* isolates.

**Conclusion:** It seems essential to rapidly and reliably identify the phylogenetic sources and characteristics of this microorganism and to have a better understanding of its molecular epidemiology in order for infection practical surveillance and control.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus, Polymorphism, Multi-locus sequence analysis (MLSA).

#### CITATION LINKS

[1] Colonization and infection of the skin by *S. aureus*: Immune system evasion and the response to cationic antimicrobial... [2] Staphylococcus aureus in the community: Colonization... [3] Staphylococcus aureus infections: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical... [4] Staphylococcus aureus nasal colonization: An Update on mechanisms, epidemiology, risk factors and subsequent infections. Front Microbiol. 2018; 9:2419. [5] Methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Pet-to-man travelling *Staphylococci*: Elsevier; 2018: 225-35. [6] Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: An evolving... [7] The molecular evolution of... [8] Prokaryotic taxonomy in the sequencing era-the polyphasic approach... [9] Stepwise decrease in daptomycin susceptibility in clinical Staphylococcus aureus isolates associated with an initial mutation. [10] Mutations in HSP70-2 gene change the susceptibility to clinical mastitis in Chinese Holstein. Gene. 2015; 559(1):62-72. [11] Molecular identification and genotyping of MRSA isolates. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2009; 57(2):104-15. [12] Molecular cloning of two new heat shock genes related to the hsp70 genes in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol. 1994; 176(15):4779-83. [13] Genotypic diversity of coagulase-negative... [14] Mechanisms of methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015; 84:577-601. [15] Focus: Infectious diseases: Vancomycin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Yale J Biol Med. 2017; 90(2):269-81. [16] Antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Current status... [17] Comparison of traditional and molecular methods of typing... [18] Spa typing and multilocus sequence typing show comparable performance in a macroepidemiologic study of Staphylococcus aureus in... [19] Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) in... [20] The role of universal stress proteins in Edwardsiella... [21] Genetic variation among Staphylococcus aureus strains from bovine milk and their... [22] Genetic variation in Staphylococcus aureus surface and immune evasion genes is lineage associated: Implications... [23] Phylogenetic relationships among Staphylococcus species and refinement of... [24] Comparison of complete rpoB gene sequence typing and multi-locus sequence typing for phylogenetic analysis of Staphylococcus aureus. J Gen ...

Copyright© 2019, TMU Press. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms.

### Introduction

Staphylococcus *aureus* is а commensal Gram-positive organism present on the skin and mucosal surface with the capability of surviving on dry surfaces due to its thick peptidoglycan layer <sup>[1]</sup>. Risk factors of S. aureus infections include external devices, history of surgery, and extensive antibiotic use <sup>[2]</sup>. Patients at risk of *S. aureus* infections include neonates, children with poor sanitation, women during menstruation, and patients with intravascular catheters. This microorganism could mainly lead to the bacteremia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, pneumonia, skin and soft tissue infections [3]. Although S. aureus is generally considered as an opportunistic pathogen, some clones may be more capable of causing invasive disease due to the presence of certain virulence factors facilitating access to normally sterile sites <sup>[4]</sup>.

Recently, S. aureus has exhibited great resistance against multiple antimicrobial agents, which is of great concern. Methicillinresistant S. aureus (MRSA) strains; including hospital-acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA), community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA), livestock-associated and MRSA (LA-MRSA) strains; are resistant to all  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics through acquiring mobile genetic elements called staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) [5-7]. In addition antibacterial acquiring resistance, to differences in staphylococcal pathogenicity, depending on different geographical regions and epigenetics, necessitate the investigation of the genomic structure, polymorphism, and phylogenetic relationships between different S. aureus clinical isolates.

Multi-locus sequence analysis (MLSA) is a powerful high-resolution method which provides data on genetic changes in housekeeping genes and could be served as a valid technique for the study of epidemiological relationships <sup>[8]</sup>. In fact, MLSA is able to compare the primary DNA sequences of multiple conserved proteincoding loci in order to assess the diversity and relationship between different isolates and to determine the sources and evolutionary alterations of different taxa.

**Objectives:** This study aimed to investigate the genetic diversity of *S. aureus* clinical strains isolated from different body sites. In this study, a MLSA protocol was developed based on genes coding for  $\beta$ -subunit bacterial RNA polymerase (*rpoB*) and heatshock protein 70 (*hsp70*) as well as *16S rRNA* gene. These genes are essential for bacteria, and their polymorphism is highly important in determining bacterial genetic behaviors corresponding to different environmental factors <sup>[9-10]</sup>.

### **Materials and Methods**

**Staphylococcus** aureus strains and growth conditions: A total of 50 S. aureus strains were isolated from patients with urinary, wound, blood, eye, synovial, and pharyngeal infections, referring to Shahid Rahimi hospital, Lorestan, Iran from March 2018 to June 2018. Patients received no antibiotic two weeks prior to sampling. In addition to observing the presence of Grampositive cocci bacteria under microscope, catalase, coagulase, DNAse, and growth on mannitol salt agar tests were performed for the confirmation of S. aureus isolates. All of the S. aureus strains were grown on blood agar (Merck Millipore, Germany) and incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hrs. S. aureus ATCC 25923 was used as the standard strain. Isolation of Genomic DNA: Chromosomal DNA was extracted from overnight cultures of S. aureus isolates grown on blood agar at 37°C for 18 to 24 hrs using the Qiagen DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (Hilden, Germany). Genomic samples with an OD260/OD280 ratio of ≥1.8 were considered as pure and employed for further analysis. Also, to confirm the quality of the extracted DNA, samples were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (Sigma, USA).

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Sequencing: Amplification of 16SrRNA, rpoB, and hsp70 genes was performed in an automated thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, USA) in a total volume of 25 µL consisting of 2 µl of DNA template, 1  $\mu$ L of the previously described primers (forward and reverse) (Table 1), 12.5 µL of Taq PCR Master Mix 2X (Fermentas, Lithuania), and 8.5 µL of DNase/RNAse free distilled water (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The PCR program included an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing (annealing Tm for each primer is shown in Table 1) for 60 sec, extension at 72°C for 60 sec, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Finally, amplicons in each reaction were analyzed on 1% agarose gel treated with safe stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in 0.5X TBE after electrophoresis. Gels were visualized under the gel documentation system (Bio-Rad, UK).

The PCR positive products with the expected size were sequenced by Sanger method for five times (Forward and reverse). The sequences were then blasted against the *16S rRNA*, *rpoB*, and *hsp70* GenBank databases (NCBI).

**Sequence data analyses:** Chromatographs were annotated with the Sequencher DNA

Table 1) Characteristics of primers used in this study

analyzer program Ver.5.1 (Gene Codes Corpora tion). After finding contigs, they were blasted to find any change compared to the reference sequence of *S. aureus* NCTC 8325 standard strain.

Phylogeny of the isolates was analyzed using the maximum parsimony or neighborjoining method using the Mega software Ver.6.0. Standard strain of *S. aureus* NCTC 8325 was used as the reference strain.

### Findings

Genetic variations in the studied genes: All MLSA loci of 50 S. aureus isolates were successfully amplified. Sequencing analysis of the PCR products created sequences in both directions. The collections of sequences were transferred from the Sequencher to Mesquite software Ver.3.6 for alignment in order to find any type of polymorphism in the studied genes. According to the results, among the 50 studied S. aureus strains, 21, 33, and 23 strains showed genetic variations caused by mutations including insertion, transversion, transition, and deletion in 16S rRNA, rpoB, and hsp70 genes, respectively. Among the studied isolates, 10 cases showed no genetic variation compared to the reference strain (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Phylogenetic analysis of the strains using the Neighbor Joining method: Phylogenetic trees constructed based on 16s rRNA gene

using the neighbor joining method showed that the Strain 14 was different from the

Targeted Genes	Sequence (5' $\rightarrow$ 3')	Tm(°C)	Amplicon Size (bp)	Reference
16S rRNA	F AACTCTGTTATTAGGGAAGAACA R CCACCTCCTCCGGTTTGTCACC	55	689	(11)
hsp70	F CCIGAYGARGTIGTIGC R TCIGCRTCYTTIACCAT	46.6	610	(12)
rpoB	F AACCAATTCCGTATIGGTTT R CCGTCCCAAGTCATGAAAC	50.5	1183	(13)

Strain No.	Source	Nucleotide Change (Base Number/ Type of Mutation) in <i>hsp70</i> Gene
1	Urine	AAC $\rightarrow$ AGC (273/ transition)
2	Urine	TTT->TCT,TGT->TGTT(203, 297/transition/insertion)
3	Blood	-
4	Blood	
5	Wound	TTT→TCT,CAC→CGC,AAT→CCC,TTC→TTT,AAT→GAAT,TAA→CAA (203,254,263,264,266,269,272,273,282/ transition, insertion)
6	Blood	ATA $\rightarrow$ AATA, TCA $\rightarrow$ TACA, CAA $\rightarrow$ ACAA (32, 35, 37/insertion)
7	Blood	AAT $\rightarrow$ ATT (264, transversion)
8	Blood	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	Urine	-
10	Eye	TTC $\rightarrow$ TTCC,CTA $\rightarrow$ CTTA (244, 260/ insertion)
11	Blood	·
12	Wound	AGA $\rightarrow$ AGCA (287/insertion)
13	Blood	•
14	Blood	TGT $\rightarrow$ TGTT (297/insertion)
15	Blood	•
16	Wound	•
17	Blood	$TTA \rightarrow TTT (4/transversion)$
18	Throat	•
19	Urine	
20	Wound	
21	Blood	•
22	Blood	•
23	Blood	-
24	Wound	AAC $\rightarrow$ AGC (237/transition)
25	Blood	
26	Blood	
27	Blood	ACT→ATT (179/transition)
28	Blood	
29	Blood	
30	Urine	CTA→CAA,ACT→ATT,CTG→CAG,TTT→AAA (177, 179, 183, 266, 267, 268/ transversion, transition)
31	Blood	
32	Urine	
33	Blood	
34	Blood	
35	Blood	AAT->ATTT,ATA->ACA,ACC->A-C (273, 275, 276, 287/ transition, insertion, deletion)
36	Blood	-
37	Wound	CAA—CGA,TCA—TCC,ATA—TTT (232,271,274,277/transversion)
38	Blood	GTT→GTC (3/transition)
39	Blood	
40	Blood	-
41	Urine	-
42	Blood	-
43	Wound	ACA→ACT,ACG→AAC,TTT→TCT,TCA→TCT,AAT→ATT,TCA→TTC,GTG→GAT (6, 238, 267, 271, 274, 277, 295, 296/ transversion, transition)
44	Synovial	-
45	Sputum	$CCG \rightarrow CCAG, CAA \rightarrow CTAA, AAT \rightarrow AAA (19, 37, 92/insertion)$
46	Blood	GTG→GGG (295/tranversion)
47	Blood	ACC->AGG (278, 279/transversion)
48	Blood	ACC $\rightarrow$ ATC (278/transition)
49	Blood	$GTT \rightarrow TTT, ATT \rightarrow ATAT, AAA \rightarrow GGA, AGA \rightarrow AAA, AGT \rightarrow AAT (1,8,38,39,206,221/transversion, insertion)$
50	Urine	TGG $\rightarrow$ TTG (94/ transversion)

Infection Epidemiology and Microbiology

[Downloaded from iem.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-09]

Strain No.	Source	Nucleotide Change (Base Number/ Type of Mutation) in <i>rpoB</i> Gene				
1	Urine	TGT→TAT, CTG→CCG (127, 331/transition)				
2	Urine					
3	Blood					
4	Blood	ATG-ATGG, CCA-CCCA, TTT-TTT, AAT-ATT, TGT-TAT (5, 7, 14, 58, 619/transversion, transition, insertion)				
5	Wound	CTG $\rightarrow$ CTGA, TAG $\rightarrow$ TAA, TTA $\rightarrow$ TTT, CGA $\rightarrow$ CAA, CAT $\rightarrow$ CCT, CGA $\rightarrow$ CCA, GTG $\rightarrow$ GGG, ACA $\rightarrow$ AAA, ATA $\rightarrow$ AAA, AGT $\rightarrow$ AAT, ATG $\rightarrow$ AGG, ATC $\rightarrow$ ACC, CGA $\rightarrow$ C-A, ATC $\rightarrow$ A-C (542,603,627,643,649,684,729,735,792,795,827,844,586,941) (transversion, transition, insertion, deletion)				
6	Blood	TCT->TCCT, CAA->CAGA (16,936/insertion)				
7	Blood	CAC $\rightarrow$ CACC, TGC $\rightarrow$ TGCC, CAT $\rightarrow$ CATT, TAA $\rightarrow$ TAAA (476,555,570,582/insertion)				
8	Blood	-				
9	Urine	ACC→ACCT (202/insertion)				
10	Еуе	TCA→TTA, ATA→AAA, CTC→CGC, AGA→AAA, ATC→ACC, ACA→AAA, GTG→GGG, TCT→T <sup>+</sup> T, AAA→AA-, CGG→CGGG, TCG→TTG, CTG→CGG, CAC→CCC, CAT→C-T, ACT→ATT, CCA→CCC (287,323,331,342,345,388,399,404,421,477,533,53 7,632,649,659,676/ transversion, transition, insertion, deletion)				
11	Blood	AAT→AT,CCA→A,AGA→AGAT,TGG→TGGA,TCG→TCCC,TTC→TCC,CAC→CCC,CGA→CAA,AGA→AAA,AGT→AAT, CTT→CTTG, CAT→CAA, AGC→ACC, TAT→TTT, AGT→AAT, ATA→A-A, ATG→CAG, ATC→ACC, TGT→GG- (1,8,271,272,273,511,534,5 35,596, 632,643,714,716,726,749,753,757,795,821,826,827,844,947,950/ transversion, transition, insertion, deletion)				
12	Wound	· ·				
13	Blood	$ATG \rightarrow ATGG, TGT \rightarrow TAT, CAT \rightarrow CCT, AGA \rightarrow AAA, TGC \rightarrow TTGC (5, 6, 7, 619, 700, 714, 720/transversion, transition, insertion)$				
14	Blood					
15	Blood	TGC—GGT,AAA—A (399,401,789,790/ transversion, deletion)				
16	Wound					
17	Blood	ATT $\rightarrow$ ATAT,TTA $\rightarrow$ TAA(710,713/transversion, insertion)				
18	Throat	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
19	Urine	TTC->TCC,TCA->TAA,TTG->TGG,CAT->CCT (411,417,435,488/ transversion, transition)				
20	Wound	CAA $\rightarrow$ ACAA,GCT $\rightarrow$ GGCT,CAA $\rightarrow$ AAA (78,720,739/ transversion, insertion)				
21	Blood	TGT->TGTT,CAT->CGC,TAC->TTC,TGT->TAT,TTT->TTTT,CTT->CTTG (138,293,382,619,720,726,733/ transversion,				
22	Blood	transition, insertion) CCA→CCCA,TTC→TTTC,AAA→AAAA,GTG→GGTC (628,660,705,720/insertion)				
22	Blood	CCA-CCCA, ITC->IITC, AAA-AAAA, GTG->GGTC (020,000,703,720/ IIISet doil)				
23	Wound	- TCT \TAT (197 / type:tion)				
24	Blood	TGT $\rightarrow$ TAT (127/ transition)				
26	Blood	- AAT $\rightarrow$ TAT,TAC $\rightarrow$ TTC (1,382/ transversion)				
27	Blood					
27	Blood	- GAC $\rightarrow$ GTC,TGT $\rightarrow$ TTT (296,303/ transversion)				
29	Blood	$GAC \rightarrow G1C, 1G1 \rightarrow 111 (250, 505) (ualisversion)$				
30	Urine	-				
		$ATT \rightarrow ATTT, TGT \rightarrow TAT, TTT \rightarrow GGG, GCC \rightarrow GAC, TCC \rightarrow TGC (111, 619, 869, 870, 871, 884, 959 / transversion, transition, insertion)$				
31	Blood	- TAC > CCC 4TA > CAC (000 001 000 1000 (http://www.ing.http://				
32 33	Urine Blood	TAC->CCC,ATA->CAC (990,991,999,1000/ transversion, transition)				
33 34	Blood	TGC→TGCC,→TTA,CTT→CTTT,CAA→CCAA (99,271,272,273,914,944/insertion, deletion)				
35	Blood	$TGT \rightarrow TAT, TTG (619,952/ transition, insertion)$				
36	Blood	$GTA \rightarrow GAA, TTC \rightarrow TTTC, CTG \rightarrow CCG, GGT \rightarrow GGGT, TGT \rightarrow TAT (246, 286, 331, 398, 619/ transversion, transition, insertion)$				
37	Wound	$ATG \rightarrow ATGG, AAT \rightarrow A-T (5,23/ insertion, deletion)$				
38	Blood					
38 39	Blood	- ATG $\rightarrow$ ATGG,TTC $\rightarrow$ TTTC,TGT $\rightarrow$ TAT (5,14,619/ transition, insertion)				
39 40	Blood	AT $\rightarrow$ TAT, GCC $\rightarrow$ G-C, AAT $\rightarrow$ A-T, CAA $\rightarrow$ CACA (1,8,23,76/transversion, insertion, deletion)				
40 41						
41 42	Urine Blood	TTC $\rightarrow$ TTT,TTC $\rightarrow$ TTA,ACA $\rightarrow$ AAA (663,767,988/ transversion, transition)				
42 43	Wound	- AAT—AA-T,TAC—>TTC,TCA—>TCG (23,382,492/ transversion, transition, deletion)				
43 44	Synovial	TTC-TATC,CTG-CCG,TGT-TAT (275,331,619/transition, insertion)				
44 45	-	TCG $\rightarrow$ TCCG,TCT $\rightarrow$ TAT (454,619/ transition, insertion)				
45 46	Sputum Blood					
40	Blood	- TGT->TAT,TTC->TT- (619,663/ transition, deletion)				
48	Blood	$CTG \rightarrow CCG, TGT \rightarrow TAT (330,619/ transition)$				
49	Blood					
50	Urine	•				

Table3) Polymorphisms in rpoB gene of 50 S. aureus clinical strains with different sources compared to the reference strain

Strain No.	Source	Nucleotide Change (Base Number/ Type of Mutation) in <i>hsp70</i> Gene
1	Urine	· ·
2	Urine	$GTA \rightarrow GAA (476/ tranversion)$
3	Blood	-
4	Blood	
5	Wound	
6	Blood	ATA→ACA (427/transition)
7	Blood	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8	Blood	
9	Urine	-
10	Eye	
11	Blood	
12	Wound	GCT $\rightarrow$ GCTT (433/ insertion)
13	Blood	· ·
14	Blood	AGA $\rightarrow$ AAA,AGT $\rightarrow$ AAA,TCC $\rightarrow$ TTC,CTC $\rightarrow$ TTT,GCT $\rightarrow$ GCTT (212,222,223,243,253,255,491/ transversion, transition, deletion)
15	Blood	$GGT \rightarrow GCT, CGT \rightarrow CCT, CTT \rightarrow GAT$ (12,19,35/ transversion, insertion)
16	Wound	•
17	Blood	-
18	Throat	• •
19	Urine	GGT $\rightarrow$ GCT,GGC $\rightarrow$ G-C (11,489/ transversion, deletion)
20	Wound	-
21	Blood	
22	Blood	CCA→CCCA,CCT→C-T,TTT→-TT (156, 442, 492/insertion, deletion)
23	Blood	TTT→TAT,GCT→GCTT (264, 491/ transversion, insertion)
24	Wound	· ·
25	Blood	
26	Blood	
27	Blood	
28	Blood	TCC $\rightarrow$ TCCC (14/ insertion)
29	Blood	
30	Urine	
31	Blood	
32	Urine	
33	Blood	
34	Blood	
35	Blood	$CAG \rightarrow CGG (157/ transition)$
36	Blood	-
37	Wound	GCT→GCTT (491/insertion)
38	Blood	$CTA \rightarrow CGA, CTA \rightarrow CAA (389, 443/ transversion)$
39	Blood	ATA $\rightarrow$ ACA,ATG $\rightarrow$ AGG (250, 278/ transversion)
40	Blood	-
41	Urine	AGA $\rightarrow$ AGAA,CTC $\rightarrow$ CTTC,GCA $\rightarrow$ GCCA,CCG $\rightarrow$ CGG,GAA $\rightarrow$ GAA, CTG $\rightarrow$ CTTC,AGT $\rightarrow$ AGGT,GAG $\rightarrow$ GGAAG,GCT $\rightarrow$ GTT,AGA $\rightarrow$ AAA, CTA $\rightarrow$ CAA,GCT $\rightarrow$ GCTTT,ATA $\rightarrow$ AAA (218,245,260,269,281,307,321, 348,360,372,389,399,400,427/ transversion, transition, insertion)
42	Blood	
43	Wound	CCT→CCCT (117/ insertion)
44	Synovial	$GCT \rightarrow GGCT$ (462/ insertion)
45	Sputum	·
46	Blood	GCG→GCCG,GAA→GAAA,ACG→ACGG (47,281,342/ insertion)
47	Blood	CTT→CTTT,TTA→TCA (491, 502/ transition, insertion)
48	Blood	$CGG \rightarrow CTG, CTT \rightarrow C-T, TTA \rightarrow TAA (423, 434, 452/ deletion)$
-		
49	Blood	CTC->CCTC,GCG->GGCG (56, 65/ insertion)

Table4) Polymorphisms in hsp70 gene of 50 S. aureus clinical strains with different sources compared to the reference strain

[Downloaded from iem.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-09]

Strains 23, 49, and 42 (>99% difference) as well as the Strains 5 and 6 (>73%). Moreover, Strain 48 showed a sequence different from the Strains 6, 14, 15, 19, 22, 23, 42, and 49 (>99% difference). Finally, the Strain 50 was different in sequence from the Strains 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 16, 20, 25, 30, 33, 37, 38, 39, 40, 46, 48, and 49 (Fig. 1A). Phylogenetic trees based on hsp70 gene showed that the Strains 5, 30, 43, and 49 were different from other strains in sequence (>99% difference). However, other strains were highly correlated, and the sequence similarity of the strains could be considered as an indicative of common ancestors (Fig. 2A). Based on the *rpoB* gene sequences, the Strain 11 showed a high correlation to the reference strain and Strains 2, 3, 5, 11, 24, 26, 37, 39, and 43, while it was different from other strains (>99% difference). Moreover, the Strain 15 was highly correlated with other strains, except for 19, 25, 29, 35, 41, 45, and 49 (>99% difference) (Fig. 3A).


Phylogenetic trees constructed based on the concatenation of 16s rRNA, rpoB, and hsp70 genes sequences by maximum parsimony and neighbor-joining methods showed that the Strain 5 was different from the Strains 6, 15, 19, 30, 32, 42, 46, 47, 48, and 40 with a bootstrap value of 99%. Moreover, the Strain 11 showed a phylogenetic difference with the Strains 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15, 19, 30, 32, 42, 46, 47, 48, and 49 with a bootstrap value of 99%. However, other strains were highly similar in sequence and showed a close relationship to one other. Notably, the Strain 24 only showed similar genetic sequence to the reference strain and Strain 7 and showed 99% difference with other strains (Fig. 4A). Phylogenetic analysis of the strains using the Maximum Parsimony method: According to the results of phylogenetic analysis based on 16s rRNA gene using maximum parsimony method, all S. aureus isolates showed similar genetic sequences and were not phylogenetically different and were presented in a monophyletic manner. However, it must be noted that the Strains 13 and 39 showed a trivial difference with other isolates with a bootstrap value of 63% (Fig. 1B). Molecular analysis based on hsp70 gene indicated that the Strains 2, 5, 27, and 30 were different from other strains with a bootstrap value of 62%, while the Strains 1 and 24 were different from other strains with a bootstrap value of 61% (Fig. 2B). Finally, Phylogenetic analysis based on rpoB gene using the maximum parsimony method revealed the differentiation of the Strains 5 and 11 with 99% bootstrap value as well as the differentiation of the Strain 33 with 53% bootstrap value compared to the other strains (Fig. 3B). Phylogenetic analysis based on 16srRNA, rpoB, and hsp70 genes in concatenation indicated that the Strains 5 and 11, 1 and 24, and 37 and 43 were different from other strains with the respective bootstrap values of 99, 58, and 51% (Fig. 4B).

### Discussion

S. aureus is one of the most common causes of both endemic and epidemic hospitalacquired infections with a high morbidity and mortality rate <sup>[3]</sup>. The frequency of multidrug-resistant staphylococci strains increasing reportedly worldwide, is including isolates that are resistant to methicillin, aminoglycosides, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, lincosamides. or combinations of these antibiotics. Therefore, the severe consequences of infections caused by S. aureus strains and the incidence of antibiotic resistance have increased the importance of prevention <sup>[14-16]</sup>. The study of genomic structure, polymorphism, and phylogenetic relationships between S. aureus clinical isolates could facilitate infection control, prevention, and surveillance. The typing of *S. aureus* strains may show


NC 007795 NCTC832 16srRNA				NC_007795_N 16srRNA_7
16srRNA 7				16srRNA_12
IOSIKINA /		- 16srRNA 41		16srRNA_24
16srRNA 38		105110111		16srRNA_27
10311144 50	16srRNA 39			16srRNA_28
	10511010105	16srRNA 14		16srRNA_13
16srRNA 37		105/140111		16srRNA_8
16srRNA 27				16srRNA_18
16srRNA 18				16srRNA_17
16srRNA 34				14srRNA_44
16srRNA 31				16srRNA_41
16srRNA 40				16srRNA_32
16srRNA 3				16srRNA_35
16srRNA 17				16srRNA_45
16srRNA 43				16srRNA_34
16srRNA 47				16srRNA_36
16srRNA 42				16srRNA_43
16srRNA 2				16srRNA_9
	16srRN 50			16srRNA_29
16srRNA 22				16srRNA_31
16srRNA 36				16srRNA_10
16srRNA 10				16srRN_50
16srRNA 11				16srRNA_16
16srRNA 1				16srRNA_38
16srRNA 5				16srRNA_33
16srRNA 24				16srRNA_37
16srRNA 28				16srRNA_40
16srRNA 35				16srRNA_20
16srRNA 19			63	16srRNA_39
16srRNA 6				16srRNA_14
16srRNA 15				16srRNA_11
16srRNA 23				16srRNA_25
16srRNA 49 16srRNA 8				16srRNA_4
				16srRNA_3
16srRNA 45 16srRNA 29				16srRNA_2
16srRNA 29				16srRNA_1
16srRNA 33				16srRNA_5
16srRNA 4 16srRNA 30				16srRNA_46
16srRNA 25				16srRNA_30
16srRNA 16				16srRNA_47
16srRNA 9				16srRNA_48
16srRNA 32				16srRNA_22
16srRNA 13				16srRNA_19
16srRNA 12				16srRNA_49
16srRNA 46				16srRNA_42
16srRNA 48				16srRNA_15
14srRNA 44				16srRNA_6
16srRNA 20				16srRNA_23

**Fig. 1. A)** Neighbour-joining and **B)** Maximum Parsimony trees based on the *16S rRNA* gene sequences of 50 *S. aureus* clinical isolates




**Fig. 2. A)** Neighbour-joining and **B)** Maximum Parsimony trees based on the *hsp70* gene sequences of 50 *S. aureus* clinical isolates

[ DOR: 20.1001.1.25884107.2019.5.3.4.9



**Fig. 3. A)** Neighbour-joining and **B)** Maximum Parsimony trees based on the *rpoB* gene sequences of 50 *S. aureus* clinical isolates



**Fig. 4. A)** Neighbour-joining and **B)** Maximum Parsimony trees based on the concatenated sequences of the *16S rRNA*, *rpoB*, and *hsp70* genes among the 50 *S. aureus* clinical isolates

possible differences in their characteristics. Moreover, it could help us distinguish bacterial patterns regarding their hosts and sources. To date, several phenotypic and genotypic methods have been used for the typing of S. aureus strains, including biotyping, antibiotic susceptibility testing, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and PCR-based techniques. Although PFGE has been introduced as an effective method for the typing of *S. aureus* strains, it is not cost-effective and requires technicality and effort. Therefore, in the current study, it was attempted to develop a MLSA method which is considered as an easy, useful, and affordable method [17-18]. Although the 16S rRNA gene sequencing has remained as the primary choice for the bacterial identification and different molecular targets, different factors such as genetic variation, horizontal gene transfer, and recombination may challenge this approach. Therefore, in this study, a three-locus MLSA scheme was used to reliably identify and characterize S. aureus isolates. In fact, using MLSA ensures that recombination at one locus could be compensated by the indications of relatedness between the strains provided by the others <sup>[19]</sup>. Therefore, in the current study, the polymorphism and genetic diversity of S. aureus isolates was investigated based on 16S rRNA, hsp70, and rpoB genes which are important in bacterial cell cycle and protein synthesis, thereby affecting the clinical course of infection <sup>[20]</sup>. Polymorphism could disrupt cell processes including replication, transcription, and translation, which could be horizontally transferred to the next bacterial generations, leading to the emergence or appearance of a single or multiple bacterial characteristics. In fact, any change in genomic organization leads to the emergence of novel genotypes which could affect the rate of infection in any 36

given geographical region [21-22].

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study using MLSA for S. aureus typing. However, similar studies have been conducted to determine the phylogenetic staphylococcal relationship between isolates. Lamers et al. (2012) used 16S *rRNA*, *rpoB*, and *hsp60* genes to estimate the relationship between staphylococcal isolates using Bayesian partition modeling and maximum likelihood analysis. According to their phylogenetic estimates, they proposed a refined classification for Staphylococcus, in which species were classified into 15 cluster groups according to molecular data <sup>[23]</sup>. Similar to the current study results, they indicated that 16S rRNA, rpoB, and hsp60 genes were suitable for distinguishing S. aureus strains.

In another study by Seong et al. (2013), the complete rpoB and seven partial housekeeping genes sequences of 29 human and poultry isolated S. aureus strains were determined, and the phylogenetic analysis of these strains was conducted using the GenBank and EMBL databases. Their RS typing results showed the differentiation between the poultry and human isolated ST5 strains and the mutations related to the rifampin resistance in some human S. *aureus* strains <sup>[24]</sup>, indicating that the study of polymorphism and genetic variations in both antibacterial resistant and sensitive strains could be very useful for comparing the pathogenicity and genomic organization in these isolates. Similar to the current study, they showed that *rpoB* gene could be used to assess the genetic relationship between S. aureus isolates.

### Conclusion

Today, understanding the phylogeny of bacteria based on housekeeping genes is of great importance. In this study, the polymorphisms were determined, and a

Downloaded from iem.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-09

MLSA technique was developed for 50 *S. aureus* clinical isolates based on *16S rRNA, hsp70*, and *rpoB* genes. The MLSA method was clearly capable of discriminating between the *S. aureus* genotypes. Overall, there was a high genetic diversity in the three studied MLSA loci among the 50 *S. aureus* clinical isolates compared to the reference strain of *S. aureus* NCTC 8325. The use of multi-locus sequence analysis and the study of polymorphisms in *S. aureus* clinical isolates are proposed for infection control and surveillance.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to all the staff in Biology Department of Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran.

**Ethical Permissions:** The authors hereby declare all ethical standards have been respected in preparation of the submitted article.

**Conflict of interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Author's contribution:** Hoseiny Khorram Abadi E. (First author), Sequence data analyses / Original researcher/ Discussion author (50%); Zaker Bostan Abad S. (Second author), Original researcher/ Methodologist /Discussion author (50%)

**Fundings:** This research was supported by Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran.

## References

- Ryu S, Song P, Seo C, Cheong H, Park Y. Colonization and infection of the skin by S. aureus: Immune system evasion and the response to cationic antimicrobial peptides. Int J Mol Sci. 2014; 15(5):8753-72.
- Miller M, Cook HA, Furuya EY, Bhat M, Lee M-H, Vavagiakis P, et al. Staphylococcus aureus in the community:

Colonization versus infection. PLoS One. 2009;4(8):e6708.

- 3. Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. Staphylococcus aureus infections: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015; 28(3):603-61.
- 4. Sakr A, Brégeon F, Mege J-L, Rolain J-M, Blin O. Staphylococcus aureus nasal colonization: An Update on mechanisms, epidemiology, risk factors and subsequent infections. Front Microbiol. 2018; 9:2419.
- Carretto E, Visiello R, Nardini P. Methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Petto-man travelling Staphylococci: Elsevier; 2018: 225-35.
- 6. Stryjewski ME, Corey GR. Methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus: An evolving pathogen. Clin Infect Dis. 2014; 58(suppl\_1):S10-9.
- Deurenberg RH, Vink C, Kalenic S, Friedrich A, Bruggeman C, Stobberingh E. The molecular evolution of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2007;13(3):222-35.
- 8. Kämpfer P, Glaeser SP. Prokaryotic taxonomy in the sequencing era-the polyphasic approach revisited. Environ Microbiol. 2012; 14(2):291-317.
- 9. Bæk KT, Thøgersen L, Mogenssen RG, Mellergaard M, Thomsen LE, Petersen A, et al. Stepwise decrease in daptomycin susceptibility in clinical Staphylococcus aureus isolates associated with an initial mutation in rpoB and a compensatory inactivation of the clpX gene. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(11):6983-91.
- 10. Huang P, Lu C, Li J, Xu J, Liu Z, Wang Q, et al. Mutations in HSP70-2 gene change the susceptibility to clinical mastitis in Chinese Holstein. Gene. 2015; 559(1):62-72.
- 11. Makgotlho PE, Kock MM, Hoosen A, Lekalakala R, Omar S, Dove M, et al. Molecular identification and genotyping of MRSA isolates. FEMS Immunol Med

DOR: 20.1001.1.25884107.2019.5.3.4.9

Microbiol. 2009; 57(2):104-15.

- 12. Ohta T, Saito K, Kuroda M, Honda K, Hirata H, Hayashi H. Molecular cloning of two new heat shock genes related to the hsp70 genes in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol. 1994; 176(15):4779-83.
- Petti CA, Simmon KE, Miro JM, Hoen B, Marco F, Chu VH, et al. Genotypic diversity of coagulase-negative staphylococci causing endocarditis: A global perspective. J Clin Microbiol. 2008; 46(5):1780-4.
- 14. Peacock SJ, Paterson GK. Mechanisms of methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015; 84:577-601.
- McGuinness WA, Malachowa N, DeLeo FR. Focus: Infectious diseases: Vancomycin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Yale J Biol Med. 2017; 90(2):269-81.
- 16. Foster TJ. Antibiotic resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Current status and future prospects. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2017; 41(3):430-49.
- 17. Tenover FC, Arbeit R, Archer G, Biddle J, Byrne S, Goering R, et al. Comparison of traditional and molecular methods of typing isolates of Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol. 1994; 32(2):407-15.
- 18. O'Hara FP, Suaya JA, Ray GT, Baxter R, Brown ML, Mera RM, et al. spa typing and multilocus sequence typing show comparable performance in a macroepidemiologic study of Staphylococcus aureus in the

United States. Microb Drug Resist. 2016; 22(1):88-96.

- 19. Glaeser SP, Kämpfer P. Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) in prokaryotic taxonomy. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2015; 38(4):237-45.
- 20. Akgul A. The role of universal stress proteins in Edwardsiella ictaluri virulence: Mississippi State University; 2017.
- 21. Hata E, Katsuda K, Kobayashi H, Uchida I, Tanaka K, Eguchi M. Genetic variation among Staphylococcus aureus strains from bovine milk and their relevance to methicillin-resistant isolates from humans. J Clin Microbiol. 2010; 48(6):2130-9.
- 22. McCarthy AJ, Lindsay JA. Genetic variation in Staphylococcus aureus surface and immune evasion genes is lineage associated: Implications for vaccine design and hostpathogen interactions. BMC Microbiol. 2010; 10(1):173.
- 23. Lamers RP, Muthukrishnan G, Castoe TA, Tafur S, Cole AM, Parkinson CL. Phylogenetic relationships among Staphylococcus species and refinement of cluster groups based on multilocus data. BMC Evol Biol. 2012; 12(1):171.
- 24. Seong W-J, Kim J-H, Kwon H-J. Comparison of complete rpoB gene sequence typing and multi-locus sequence typing for phylogenetic analysis of Staphylococcus aureus. J Gen Appl Microbiol. 2013; 59(5):335-43.