

# Molecular Typing of *Streptococcus agalactiae*- cMLSB Phenotype Isolates by Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus-PCR (ERIC-PCR) in Isfahan, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article Type Original Article

#### Authors

Saba Jalalifar, *PhD*<sup>1</sup> Tahereh Motallebirad, PhD<sup>2</sup> Shirin Dashtbin, *PhD*<sup>1</sup> Rasoul Mirzaei , *PhD*<sup>3</sup> Mehdi Khorshidi, MSC<sup>2</sup> Bahram Nasr Esfahani, *PhD*<sup>2\*</sup>

#### How to cite this article

Jalalifar S., Motallebirad T., Dashtbin SH., Mirzaei R., Khorshidi M., Nasr Esfahani B. Molecular Typing of *Streptococcus agalactiae*- cMLSB Phenotype Isolates by Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus-PCR (ERIC-PCR) in Isfahan, Iran. Infection Epidemiology and Microbiology. 2022;8(2): 139-147

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

### \* Correspondence

Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. Email: nasr@hlth.mui.ac.ir

*Article History* Received: February 11,2022 Accepted: May 02,2021 Published: June 22,2022

#### A B S T R A C T

**Backgrounds:** Group B *Streptococcus* (GBS) is an important opportunistic bacterial pathogen that could cause serious infections, especially in neonates, adults, and the elderly. In GBS isolates, a macrolide resistance phenotype that confers constitutive resistance to macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B antibiotics (cMLSB phenotype) has become a global concern. On the other hand, little is known about the genetic relatedness and diversity of GBS isolates isolated from various patients in Iran. Hence, this study aimed to determine the genetic relatedness and molecular typing of cMLSB-GBS isolates using enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus-PCR (ERIC- PCR) technique.

**Materials & Methods:** A total of 100 GBS isolates were collected from patients with urinary tract infections (UTIs). Among them, 52 erythromycin-resistant GBS isolates were selected, and double-disc diffusion (D-zone) technique was applied to determine the MLSB phenotype among the isolates based on CLSI criteria. Then the genetic relatedness of MLSB-GBS isolates was assessed using ERIC-PCR fingerprinting method.

**Findings:** Among 52 erythromycin-resistant GBS isolates, 38 isolates were identified with cMLSB phenotype, nine isolates with M phenotype, and five isolates with iMLSB phenotype. The analysis of ERIC-PCR patterns revealed eight different ERIC types that were divided into seven clusters (A-G) and one single type. Also, four isolates were non-typeable. ERIC type A/ serotype Ib was the most prevalent clone among the isolates.

**Conclusion:** The current study findings showed a high level of diversity and multiclonal spread of the cMLSB phenotype in Isfahan. ERIC type A/ serotype Ib is the predominant clone circulating among erythromycin-resistant GBS strains.

**Keywords:** Molecular typing, Group B *Streptococcus*, Antibiotic resistance, Erythromycinresistant GBS, ERIC-PCR, Iran.

### CITATION LINKS

[1] Raabe VN, Shane AL. Group B streptococcus ... [2] Johansen NR, Kjærbye-Thygesen A, Jønsson S ... [3] Mukesi M, Iweriebor BC, Obi LC, Nwodo UU, Moyo SR, Okoh AI. Prevalence ... [4] Pietrocola G, Arciola CR, Rindi S, Montanaro L ... [5] Kline KA, Schwartz DJ, Lewis WG, .... [6] Tan CK, Ulett KB, Steele M, Benjamin WH... [7] Leclercq SY, Sullivan MJ, Ipe DS, Smith JP... [8] Garland SM, Cottrill E, Markowski L, Pearce C... [9] Gizachew M, Tiruneh M, Moges F... [10] Vandana K, Singh J, Chiranjay M, Bairy I... [11] Yao K, Poulsen K, Maione D... [12] Poyart C, Tazi A... [13] Martinez G, Harel J, Higgins R, Lacouture S... [14] Ibarz Pavón AB, Maiden MC. Multilocus ... [15] Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Rude TH ... [16] Zhang G, Kotiw M, Daggard G. A RAPD-PCR genotyping ... [17] Qasem J, Al-Mouqati S, Al-Shamalli A, Al-Sharifi F, Solman S. Application of ... [18] Kosek M, Yori PP, Gilman RH, Vela H, Olortegui ... [19] Wilson LA, Sharp PM. Enterobacterial repetitive ... [20] Hulton C, Higgins C, Sharp P. ERIC sequences: a novel ... [21] Tsai M-H, Hsu J-F, Lai M-Y, Lin L-C, Chu S-M, Huang H-R, et al... [22] Castor ML, Whitney CG, Como-Sabetti K, Facklam RR, Ferrieri P, Bartkus JM ... [23] Gizachew M, Tiruneh M, Moges F, Tessema B... [24] Jalalifar S, Havaei SA, Motallebirad T, Moghim S... [25] Khan AS, Walsh A, Crowley B. Role of efflux in ... [26] Green MR, Sambrook J... [27] Bishi D, Verghese S, Verma R. Molecular ... [28] Heidari H, Halaji M, Taji A, Kazemian H, Abadi MSS, Sisakht MT, et al. Molecular ... [29] Heras J, Domínguez C, Mata E, Pascual V, Lozano C, Torres C... [30] Russell NJ, Seale AC ... [31] Hayes K, O'Halloran F, Cotter L. A review of ... [32] Lu B, Chen X, Wang J, Wang D ... [33] Khodaei F, Najafi M, Hasani A, Kalantar E, Sharifi E, Amini A, et al. Pilus-encoding islets in S. agalactiae and ... [34] Bergal A, Loucif L, Benouareth D, Bentorki A, Abat C, Rolain J-M. Molecular epidemiology ... [35] Diekema DJ, Andrews JI, Huynh H, Rhomberg PR, Doktor SR, Beyer J, et al. Molecular ... [36] Morozumi M, Wajima T, Kuwata Y, Chiba N, Sunaoshi K, Sugita K, et al ... [37] Domelier A-S, van der Mee-Marquet N, Arnault L, Mereghetti L, Lanotte P, Rosenau A, et al ... [38] Guo D, Cao X, Li S, Ou Q, Lin D, Yao Z, et al ... [39] Furfaro LL, Chang BJ, Payne MS. Perinatal ... [40] Hall J, Adams NH, Bartlett L, Seale AC, Lamagni T, Bianchi-Jassir F, et al. Maternal Disease ...

Copyright@ 2022, TMU Press. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms.

# Introduction

Streptococcus agalactiae, also known as group B Streptococcus (GBS), is a member of the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts normal flora in women<sup>[1, 2]</sup>. The colonization rate of healthy women with GBS has been reported to be about 10–40% <sup>[3]</sup>. Colonized pregnant women could act as a reservoir and transfer GBS to their babies during labor. Neonatal sepsis and meningitis are two life-threatening clinical manifestations that could lead to death [3]. Besides, GBS causes a wide range of localized and systemic infections in immunocompromised patients and the elderly, such as pneumonia, joint and soft tissue infections, and urinary tract infections (UTIs) <sup>[4, 5]</sup>. Although GBS is less prevalent than Enterobacteriaceae in UTIs (2-3% of cases), it could cause serious infections <sup>[6]</sup>. These infections include asymptomatic bacteriuria, cystitis, urethritis, and pyelonephritis <sup>[7]</sup>.

Penicillin is the antibiotic of choice for intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) and treatment of GBS infections, but erythromycin, levofloxacin, and clindamycin are alternative choices for  $\beta$ -lactam allergic patients. Fortunately, resistance to penicillin very low. However, remains various investigations have reported high resistance of GBS to erythromycin and clindamycin <sup>[6, 8]</sup>. There are two macrolide resistance mechanisms in streptococci, including ribosomal modification via methylases that confer inducible or constitutive resistance to lincosamides and streptogramin B, characterizing macrolide-lincosamidestreptogramin B (MLSB) phenotypes, as well as drug efflux via a membrane-bound protein, characterizing M phenotype <sup>[9]</sup>. Double-disc diffusion (D-zone) test could be applied as a simple and reliable method define inducible and to constitutive clindamycin resistance [10]

There are various methods used for GBS

genotyping <sup>[4]</sup> A capsular polysaccharide is considered as a virulence factor that could help escape the immune system. Latex agglutination using anti-capsular antibodies is one of the serotyping methods, but the reliability of molecular detection is higher than conventional methods like serotyping. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based capsular gene (cps) typing methods are molecular techniques used for this purpose <sup>[11]</sup>. Multiplex PCR is one of the molecular typing methods that could detect capsular polysaccharide serotypes <sup>[12]</sup>. Moreover, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), pulsefield gel electrophoresis (PFGE), random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD), and ribotyping are also used for genotyping <sup>[13-17]</sup>. However, due to the limitations of these methods in terms of convenience, time spent performing methods, and special equipment, other methods need to be considered <sup>[18]</sup>. Studies have revealed that unique sequences, such as enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC) and repetitive extragenic palindromic (REP) sequences, located in the genome of prokaryotes could be used for genotyping <sup>[13]</sup>. ERIC is a 127 bp palindromic sequence that is characterized as an intergenic inverted-repeat element. Discrimination of bacterial strains due to the significant diversity in copy number of these elements is possible <sup>[19]</sup>; for example, copy numbers in Escherichia coli and Salmonella Typhimurium strains have been extrapolated to be about 30 and 150, respectively <sup>[20]</sup>. Amplification of ERIC sequence is done using PCR <sup>[19]EndNote></sup>.

**Objectives:** Despite the clinical burden of GBS infections and their high resistance to antibiotics <sup>[21-23]</sup>, there are limited studies reporting specific clones circulating among macrolide-resistant GBS isolates in Iran. In the current study, ERIC-PCR was performed to characterize and distinguish specific clones among GBS isolates with cMLSB

resistance phenotype in Isfahan, Iran.

# Materials and Methods

**Bacterial isolates:** In our previous study, 100 GBS isolates collected from patients with UTIs were analyzed to determine antibiotic susceptibility patterns, capsular genotyping, and surface proteins profile <sup>[24]</sup>. These isolates were used in the present research.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing to determine GBS isolates with MLSB **phenotype**: Double-disc diffusion (D-zone) technique was used to determine the MLSB phenotype based on the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) criteria. The clindamycin disc (2 µg) was placed 12 mm (edge-to-edge) far from the erythromycin disc (15 µg) (MAST, Merseyside, UK) on a Mueller-Hinton agar plate supplemented with 5% sheep blood previously inoculated with bacterial suspension equivalent to 0.5 McFarland. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 was applied as a quality control strain. Inducible MLSB resistance (iMLSB) was defined as showing resistance to erythromycin but susceptibility to clindamycin and the formation of a D-shaped zone of inhibition clindamycin, around which flattens towards erythromycin. Resistance to both clindamycin and erythromycin was considered as constitutive MLSB resistance (cMLSB). Susceptibility to clindamycin but resistance to erythromycin without blunting (without a D-shaped zone) was regarded as an efflux mechanism (M phenotype) <sup>[25]</sup>. GBS isolates with cMLSB phenotype were subjected to ERIC-PCR.

**ERIC-PCR:** DNA of GBS isolates was extracted using phenol-chloroform method as previously described <sup>[26]</sup>. ERIC PCR was done with ERIC primers consisting of ERIC 1 (5'-ATG TAAGCTCCTGGGGATTCAC-3') and ERIC 2 (5'-AAG TAA GTG ACT GGG GTG AGCG-3') <sup>[27]</sup>. PCR program was carried out with a pre-denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation (at 95°C for 60 s, 59°C for 50 s, and 72°C for 60 s) and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min <sup>[28]</sup>. Finally, PCR products were run on 1.5% agarose gel in Tris/Borate/EDTA buffer for 60 min, and the gel was visualized by the documentation system after staining with safe stain loading dye (CinnaGen Co., Tehran, Iran).

**Statistical analysis:** In the current study, SPSS software (IBM SPSS statistics for windows, V. 20) was applied for statistical analysis. In this regard, Chi-square test was performed to characterize associations between variables. A *p* value of less than 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant (*p* < .050). ERIC patterns were surveyed by GeIJ software Version 2.0 <sup>[29]</sup>. GBS isolates with a similarity coefficient of ≥80% were clustered as the same genotypes.

# Findings

In this study, out of 52 erythromycinresistant GBS isolates, 38 (73%) isolates were identified with cMLSB phenotype, nine (17.3%) isolates with M phenotype, and five (9.6%) isolates with iMLSB phenotype. The distribution of Alp family genes, capsular genotypes, and resistance patterns among GBS isolates with iMLSB and M phenotypes is presented in Table 1.

Capsular type Ib was the most prevalent serotype among cMLSB-GBS isolates (15 of 38, 39.4%), followed by serotype V (seven isolates, 18.4%), II and III (each in four isolates, 10.5%), Ia (three isolates, 7.9%), and IV (two isolates, 5.2%). Also, three (7.9%) isolates were non-typeable. Among Alp family genes, *alpha-c* gene was the most prevalent virulence gene detected in cMLSB-GBS isolates (16 of 38, 42.1%). Also, 16 (42.1 %) isolates harbored *rib* and *epsilon* genes (each in eight isolates), and only six (15.7%) isolates were positive for *alp2/3* gene. The distribution of capsular genotypes, antibiotic resistance patterns, and Alp family virulence genes among ERIC types is presented in Table 2.

ERIC-PCR fingerprinting of 38 cMLSB-GBS isolates is presented in Figure 1. Accordingly, the banding pattern showed two to seven bands. Also, four out of 38 isolates did not show any pattern and were non-typeable. The analysis of ERIC PCR patterns revealed eight different ERIC types based on a cut-off point of 80%. Accordingly, 34 cMLSB-GBS isolates were classified into seven clusters (ERIC type A-G) and one single type (type H). The most prevalent ERIC type was type A detected in eight isolates (21%), followed by type B (six isolates, 15.7%), C (five isolates, 13.5%), D and E (each in four isolates, each 10.5%), F and G (each in three isolates, each 7.9%), and H (one isolate) (Figure 1). ERIC type A/ serotype Ib was the most prevalent clone circulating among cMLSB-GBS isolates (six isolates, 15.7%).

# Discussion

The increasing prevalence of clindamycin and erythromycin-resistant GBS isolates in recent years has caused concerns regarding the administration of these antibiotics to prevent or treat GBS infections <sup>[30]</sup>. Erythromycin resistance has been reported to be high particularly in China (74.1%), the USA (54%), and Italy (43.7%) <sup>[31]</sup>. In this study, among 52 (52%) erythromycinresistant GBS strains, 73% were identified with cMLSB phenotype, but the frequency of iMLSB and M phenotypes was low. Several studies have also reported the high prevalence rate of the cMLSB phenotype compared to the other phenotypes <sup>[32-34]</sup>. The present study results confirmed that the main mechanism of erythromycin resistance is ribosomal modification via 23S rRNAmethylases that confer cMLSB and iMLSB phenotypes.

Interestingly, the current study results

| MLSB Phenotype | No. of Isolate | <b>Resistance Pattern</b>  | Alp Family Gene | Capsular Type |
|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| iMLSB          | 39             | EM, TET                    | Alp 2/3         | III           |
|                | 40             | EM, TET                    | Rib             | III           |
|                | 41             | EM, TET, PG, CPM, CRO, CTX | Alp 2/3         | Ib            |
|                | 42             | EM, TET                    | Alpha-c         | V             |
|                | 43             | EM, TET                    | Rib             | III           |
| M phenotype    | 44             | EM, TET                    | Epsilon         | II            |
|                | 45             | EM, TET, PG, CPM, CRO, CTX | Rib             | III           |
|                | 46             | EM, TET                    | Epsilon         | III           |
|                | 47             | EM, TET, LEV               | Epsilon         | V             |
|                | 48             | EM, TET                    | Epsilon         | V             |
|                | 49             | EM, TET                    | Epsilon         | III           |
|                | 50             | EM, TET, LEV               | Epsilon         | II            |
|                | 51             | EM, TET                    | Epsilon         | V             |
|                | 52             | EM, TET                    | Alp 2/3         | III           |

**Table 1)** Distribution of Alp family virulence genes, capsular genotypes, and resistance patterns among GBSisolates with iMLSB and M phenotypes

TET: tetracycline, EM: erythromycin, LEV: levofloxacin, PG: penicillin, CPM: cefepime CTX: cefotaxime, CRO: ceftriaxone

| No. of Isolate | Resistance Pattern                      | Alp Family Gene | Capsular Type | ERIC Type |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1              | TET, EM, CD                             | Rib             | Ib            | A         |
| 2              | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | А         |
| 3              | TET, EM, CD, LEV                        | Epsilon         | V             | A         |
| 4              | TET, EM, CD                             | Alp 2/3         | III           | A         |
| 5              | TET, EM, CD                             | Alp 2/3         | Ib            | A         |
| 6              | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | А         |
| 7              | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | А         |
| 8              | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | A         |
| 9              | TET, EM, CD                             | Epsilon         | II            | В         |
| 10             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | II            | В         |
| 11             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | V             | В         |
| 12             | EM, CD                                  | Epsilon         | IV            | В         |
| 13             | TET, EM, CD, PG, CPM, CRO, CTX, LEV     | Rib             | Ib            | В         |
| 14             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ia            | В         |
| 15             | EM, CD                                  | Rib             | NT            | D         |
| 16             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | D         |
| 17             | TET, EM, CD, PG, CPM, CRO, CTX, LEV, VA | Rib             | NT            | D         |
| 18             | EM, CD, PG, CPM, CRO, CTX               | Epsilon         | NT            | D         |
| 19             | TET, EM, CD, LEV                        | Epsilon         | V             | Single    |
| 20             | TET, EM, CD, LEV                        | Epsilon         | II            | G         |
| 21             | TET, EM,CD                              | Rib             | III           | G         |
| 22             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ia            | G         |
| 23             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | II            | F         |
| 24             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | F         |
| 25             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alp 2/3         | V             | F         |
| 26             | TET, EM, CD                             | Rib             | Ib            | С         |
| 27             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | С         |
| 28             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | С         |
| 29             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alp 2/3         | V             | С         |
| 30             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | С         |
| 31             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alp 2/3         | V             | Е         |
| 32             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alp 2/3         | IV            | Е         |
| 33             | TET, EM, CD, LEV                        | Epsilon         | V             | Е         |
| 34             | TET, EM, CD                             | Epsilon         | Ia            | E         |
| 35             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | NT        |
| 36             | TET, EM, CD                             | Rib             | III           | NT        |
| 37             | EM, CD                                  | Rib             | III           | NT        |
| 38             | TET, EM, CD                             | Alpha-c         | Ib            | NT        |

### Table 2) Molecular characterization of 38 cMLSB-GBS isolates

CD: clindamycin, VA: vancomycin, TET: tetracycline, EM: erythromycin, LEV: levofloxacin, PG: penicillin, CPM: cefepime, CTX: cefotaxime, CRO: ceftriaxone, NT: non-typeable



**Figure 1)** Dendrogram showing the genetic relatedness between ERIC-PCR patterns of 34 group B streptococci strains with cMLSB resistance phenotype. Four isolates were non-typeable.

showed a high prevalence of serotype Ib in cMLSB-GBS isolates. Previous studies have shown that, unlike serotypes III and V, serotype Ib is not a remarkable capsular type among macrolide-resistant GBS isolates in adults. A study in China showed that serotype Ib was in association with levofloxacin resistance <sup>[35-38]</sup>. However, among our GBS strains with iMLSB and M phenotypes, serotypes III and V were dominant (78.5%). Besides, this study results showed that all of the cMLSB-GBS isolates harbored at least one Alp family virulence gene. Alp family antigens increase the potential of GBS strains to invade host cells and cause severe infections <sup>[4]</sup>. Most of the cMLSB-GBS isolates were positive for the presence of *alpha-c* gene. However, among iMLSB-GBS and M-GBS isolates, *epsilon* gene was frequently detected. Regarding the distribution of Alp family genes among different serotypes, this study results revealed that out of 16 erythromycin-resistant GBS isolates with serotype Ib, 12 (75%) isolates harbored *alpha-c* gene. In addition, out of 11 isolates with serotype III, six (54.5%) isolates were positive for *rib* gene. Due to the very small number of GBS isolates examined in this study, no association was found between virulence genes and capsular serotypes or ERIC types, but a previous study showed an association between serotype Ib and *alpha-c* gene as well as serotype III and *rib* gene <sup>[38]</sup>. The current study findings showed that capsular type Ib was the most common serotype. Asian countries have the most prevalence of non-pregnant adult diseases attributable to serotype Ib; for example, in a study in South Korea, 22% of GBS isolates causing infection in adults were identified with serotype Ib <sup>[39]</sup>. However, in several studies in France, the USA, and the United Kingdom, serotype Ia has been reported to be associated with infections in adults <sup>[40]</sup>. Such differences in distribution could also be observed due to differences in GBS sources like pregnant, neonatal, and adult populations <sup>[39]</sup>.

ERIC-PCR reliable method has а discriminatory power and could be used to identify significant GBS clones causing outbreaks in humans [27]. ERIC profiles of cMLSB-GBS isolates generated a dendrogram with two major clusters with 50% similarity. These two clusters were subdivided into several clusters with different levels of similarity in each. This observed variability suggests the multiclonal spread of the cMLSB phenotype among our GBS isolates. This finding is consistent with the finding of a study in India, in which all GBS isolates were disseminated from one ERIC type E<sup>[27]</sup>. By reviewing the literature, no information was found about the genetic population of erythromycin or clindamycin-resistant GBS isolates using ERIC-PCR fingerprinting. In this study, ERIC type A was the most prevalent type detected in cMLSB-GBS isolates. Statistical analysis results showed a significant association between serotype Ib and ERIC type A.

### Conclusion

The current study, as the first report about the molecular characterization of cMLSB-

GBS isolates using ERIC-PCR, showed that GBS species could be easily fingerprinted by ERIC-PCR technique. The isolates were well-characterized by seven clusters (ERIC type A-G), indicating the multiclonal spread erythromycin-clindamycin resistant of GBS strains. ERIC type A/ serotype Ib is the predominant clone circulating among erythromycin-resistant GBS strains in this region. The predominant distribution of cMLSB and iMLSB phenotypes demonstrates that ribosomal modification via methylases is the major mechanism of erythromycin resistance. It would be helpful to determine genes involved in resistance. Due to the high prevalence of clindamycin and erythromycin resistance among GBS populations, there is a need for molecular characterization and continuous monitoring of antibiotic resistance patterns of GBS isolates to better manage the prophylaxis and treatment of infections and find antibacterial targets unique for resistant GBS isolates.

### Acknowledgments

We are thankful to all members of Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, at Isfahan University of Medical Sciences.

**Ethical permission**: The current study had no human participants, and bacterial strains isolated from clinical samples collected from the microbiology laboratory were used.

**Conflict of interest:** None.

**Authors' Contribution:** Conceptualization, methodology supervision, writing, original draft preparation: JS, MT, DS; investigation and software analysis: JS, MR writing, reviewing, and editing: MR, KM; visualization, funding acquisition, and project administration: NEB.

Fundings: None.

**Consent to participate**:Written informed consents were obtained from participants in our previous study.

References

- 1. Raabe VN, Shane AL. Group B streptococcus (Streptococcus agalactiae). Gram-Positive Pathogens. 2019:228-38.
- Johansen NR, Kjærbye-Thygesen A, Jønsson S, Westh H, Nilas L, Rørbye C. Prevalence and treatment of group B streptococcus colonization based on risk factors versus intrapartum culture screening. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology. 2019;240:178-81.
- Mukesi M, Iweriebor BC, Obi LC, Nwodo UU, Moyo SR, Okoh AI. Prevalence and capsular type distribution of Streptococcus agalactiae isolated from pregnant women in Namibia and South Africa. BMC infectious diseases. 2019;19(1):1-7.
- 4. Pietrocola G, Arciola CR, Rindi S, Montanaro L, Speziale P. Streptococcus agalactiae non-pilus, cell wall-anchored proteins: involvement in colonization and pathogenesis and potential as vaccine candidates. Frontiers in immunology. 2018;9:602.
- Kline KA, Schwartz DJ, Lewis WG, Hultgren SJ, Lewis AL. Immune activation and suppression by group B streptococcus in a murine model of urinary tract infection. Infection and immunity. 2011;79(9):3588-95.
- 6. Tan CK, Ulett KB, Steele M, Benjamin WH, Ulett GC. Prognostic value of semi-quantitative bacteruria counts in the diagnosis of group B streptococcus urinary tract infection: a 4-year retrospective study in adult patients. BMC infectious diseases. 2012;12(1):273.
- 7. Leclercq SY, Sullivan MJ, Ipe DS, Smith JP, Cripps AW, Ulett GC. Pathogenesis of Streptococcus urinary tract infection depends on bacterial strain and  $\beta$ -hemolysin/cytolysin that mediates cytotoxicity, cytokine synthesis, inflammation and virulence. Scientific reports. 2016;6(1):1-14.
- Garland SM, Cottrill E, Markowski L, Pearce C, Clifford V, Ndisang D, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in group B streptococcus: the Australian experience. Journal of medical microbiology. 2011;60(2):230-5.
- Gizachew M, Tiruneh M, Moges F, Adefris M, Tigabu Z, Tessema B. Streptococcus agalactiae from Ethiopian pregnant women; prevalence, associated factors and antimicrobial resistance: alarming for prophylaxis. Annals of clinical microbiology and antimicrobials. 2019;18(1):3.
- 10. Vandana K, Singh J, Chiranjay M, Bairy I. Inducible clindamycin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus: Reason for treatment failure. Journal of global infectious diseases. 2009;1(1):76.
- 11. Yao K, Poulsen K, Maione D, Rinaudo CD, Baldassarri L, Telford JL, et al. Capsular gene typing of Streptococcus agalactiae compared to serotyping by latex agglutination. Journal of

clinical microbiology. 2013;51(2):503-7.

- 12. Poyart C, Tazi A, Réglier-Poupet H, Billoët A, Tavares N, Raymond J, et al. Multiplex PCR assay for rapid and accurate capsular typing of group B streptococci. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2007;45(6):1985-8.
- Martinez G, Harel J, Higgins R, Lacouture S, Daignault D, Gottschalk M. Characterization of Streptococcus agalactiae isolates of bovine and human origin by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis. J Clin Microbiol. 2000;38(1):71-8.
- 14. Ibarz Pavón AB, Maiden MC. Multilocus sequence typing. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ). 2009;551:129-40.
- 15. Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Rude TH, Fowler VG. Pulse field gel electrophoresis. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1373:117-30.
- 16. Zhang G, Kotiw M, Daggard G. A RAPD-PCR genotyping assay which correlates with serotypes of group B streptococci. Letters in applied microbiology. 2002;35(3):247-50.
- Qasem J, Al-Mouqati S, Al-Shamalli A, Al-Sharifi F, Solman S. Application of random amplification of polymorphic DNA, antibiogram and serotyping for differentiating Streptococcus agalactiae clinical and environmental isolates from Kuwait. Research Journal of Microbiology. 2009;4(1):1-12.
- Kosek M, Yori PP, Gilman RH, Vela H, Olortegui MP, Chavez CB, et al. Facilitated molecular typing of Shigella isolates using ERIC-PCR. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2012;86(6):1018-25.
- 19. Wilson LA, Sharp PM. Enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC) sequences in Escherichia coli: Evolution and implications for ERIC-PCR. Molecular biology and evolution. 2006;23(6):1156-68.
- 20. Hulton C, Higgins C, Sharp P. ERIC sequences: a novel family of repetitive elements in the genomes of Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium and other enterobacteria. Molecular microbiology. 1991;5(4):825-34.
- 21. Tsai M-H, Hsu J-F, Lai M-Y, Lin L-C, Chu S-M, Huang H-R, et al. Molecular Characteristics and Antimicrobial Resistance of Group B Streptococcus Strains Causing Invasive Disease in Neonates and Adults. Frontiers in microbiology. 2019;10(264).
- 22. Castor ML, Whitney CG, Como-Sabetti K, Facklam RR, Ferrieri P, Bartkus JM, et al. Antibiotic Resistance Patterns in Invasive Group B Streptococcal Isolates. Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2008;2008:727505.
- 23. Gizachew M, Tiruneh M, Moges F, Tessema B. Streptococcus agalactiae maternal colonization, antibiotic resistance and serotype profiles

in Africa: a meta-analysis. Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials. 2019;18(1):14.

- Jalalifar S, Havaei SA, Motallebirad T, Moghim S, Fazeli H, Esfahani BN. Determination of surface proteins profile, capsular genotyping, and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of Group B Streptococcus isolated from urinary tract infection of Iranian patients. BMC research notes. 2019;12(1):1-6.
- 25. Khan AS, Walsh A, Crowley B. Role of efflux in macrolide resistance in  $\beta$ -haemolytic streptococci of groups A, B, C and G collected in an Irish teaching hospital. Journal of medical microbiology. 2011;60(2):262-4.
- Green MR, Sambrook J. Isolation of highmolecular-weight DNA using organic solvents. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols. 2017;2017(4):pdb. prot093450.
- Bishi D, Verghese S, Verma R. Molecular typing of colonizing Streptococcus agalactiae strains by enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus PCR (ERIC-PCR) in a Chennai based hospital. Indian journal of microbiology. 2008;48(2):291-6.
- 28. Heidari H, Halaji M, Taji A, Kazemian H, Abadi MSS, Sisakht MT, et al. Molecular analysis of drugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii isolates by ERIC-PCR. Meta Gene. 2018;17:132-5.
- 29. Heras J, Domínguez C, Mata E, Pascual V, Lozano C, Torres C, et al. GelJ–a tool for analyzing DNA fingerprint gel images. BMC bioinformatics. 2015;16(1):1-8.
- Russell NJ, Seale AC, O'Driscoll M, O'Sullivan C, Bianchi-Jassir F, Gonzalez-Guarin J, et al. Maternal colonization with group B Streptococcus and serotype distribution worldwide: systematic review and meta-analyses. Clinical infectious diseases. 2017;65(suppl\_2):S100-S11.
- 31. Hayes K, O'Halloran F, Cotter L. A review of antibiotic resistance in Group B Streptococcus: the story so far. Critical Reviews in Microbiology. 2020:1-17.
- 32. Lu B, Chen X, Wang J, Wang D, Zeng J, Li Y, et al. Molecular characteristics and antimicrobial resistance in invasive and noninvasive Group B Streptococcus between 2008 and 2015 in China. Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease. 2016;86(4):351-7.

- 33. Khodaei F, Najafi M, Hasani A, Kalantar E, Sharifi E, Amini A, et al. Pilus-encoding islets in S. agalactiae and its association with antibacterial resistance and serotype distribution. Microbial pathogenesis. 2018;116:189-94.
- 34. Bergal A, Loucif L, Benouareth D, Bentorki A, Abat C, Rolain J-M. Molecular epidemiology and distribution of serotypes, genotypes, and antibiotic resistance genes of Streptococcus agalactiae clinical isolates from Guelma, Algeria and Marseille, France. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases. 2015;34(12):2339-48.
- 35. Diekema DJ, Andrews JI, Huynh H, Rhomberg PR, Doktor SR, Beyer J, et al. Molecular epidemiology of macrolide resistance in neonatal bloodstream isolates of group B streptococci. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2003;41(6):2659-61.
- 36. Morozumi M, Wajima T, Kuwata Y, Chiba N, Sunaoshi K, Sugita K, et al. Associations between capsular serotype, multilocus sequence type, and macrolide resistance in Streptococcus agalactiae isolates from Japanese infants with invasive infections. Epidemiology & Infection. 2014;142(4):812-9.
- Domelier A-S, van der Mee-Marquet N, Arnault L, Mereghetti L, Lanotte P, Rosenau A, et al. Molecular characterization of erythromycinresistant Streptococcus agalactiae strains. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2008;62(6):1227-33.
- 38. Guo D, Cao X, Li S, Ou Q, Lin D, Yao Z, et al. Neonatal colonization of group B Streptococcus in China: prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, serotypes, and molecular characterization. American Journal of Infection Control. 2018;46(3):e19-e24.
- Furfaro LL, Chang BJ, Payne MS. Perinatal Streptococcus agalactiae epidemiology and surveillance targets. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2018;31(4):e00049-18.
- 40. Hall J, Adams NH, Bartlett L, Seale AC, Lamagni T, Bianchi-Jassir F, et al. Maternal Disease With Group B Streptococcus and Serotype Distribution Worldwide: Systematic Review and Meta-analyses. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2017;65(suppl\_2):S112-S24.