Macroscopic and Microscopic Survey of the Comparative Effects of Lactobacillus plantarum 299v, Its Supernatant, and Imipenem on Infectious Burn Wound Healing in Rats

ABSTRACT

Aims: Burn infections are one of the most common causes of mortality in the world. On the other hand, microbial resistance to antibiotics has caused concern in the medical community. Therefore, controlling burn infections is very important, and using alternative therapies instead of antibiotics could be a good solution to this problem.

Materials & Methods: Lactobacillus plantarum 299v strains were used in the experiments. Fifty male Wistar rats were prepared, and burn was induced in animals. The burn wounds were inoculated with conical strips of MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa in all animals and then treated daily with an ointment containing different compositions, including NaCl, imipenem, probiotic cell pellet, probiotic supernatant, and probiotic cell pellet + probiotic supernatant. The wound healing process was evaluated in animals after 7 days of treatment. Comparisons between different groups were performed using One-way ANOVA and Turkey’s post hoc tests.

Findings: After 7 days of treatment, the mean wound size in the probiotic cell pellet group was significantly lower than in the control and imipenem groups. Also, the mean wound size in the probiotic supernatant group was significantly lower than in the imipenem group. Histological parameters related to skin repair in the probiotic cell pellet group was better than in the control and antibiotic groups. Also, inflammation in the probiotic cell pellet group was less than in the control and imipenem groups after 7 days of treatment.

Conclusion: The macroscopic results of this study supported the microscopic results and showed that the mean size of the burn wounds in the probiotic cell pellet group was less than in the control and imipenem groups after 7 days of treatment.
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Introduction
Burn wounds are always a threat to human health and may lead to inability or even death [1]. Burn wound infections could cause tissue necrosis if not treated well [2-3]. Skin is a natural barrier to invasive pathogens. In burns, the skin barrier is damaged, and the pathogens are ready to enter the wound and colonize it [2]. This is especially important when invaders are bacteria that are also resistant to antibiotics [3]. Some of the most common microorganisms colonizing and infecting burn wounds include *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and fungal pathogens [4-5]. *P. aeruginosa* is a major opportunistic human pathogen which has acquired antimicrobial resistance properties [5-6]. Today, multidrug resistance in bacteria is a severe threat to public health worldwide, and the widespread use of antibiotics play a key role in the appearance of resistance [7]. Given the increase in antibiotic resistance and long duration of burn wound healing, it is important to find new methods such as bacterial therapy for treatment in order to be able to both prevent and heal wounds [3, 6] and also to control drug-resistant infections and improve wound healing. The use of bacteria, especially probiotics, in the treatment of some diseases has attracted much attention [8-9]. Probiotics are live microorganisms that are useful and safe [10] and could boost the immune system; also, the main metabolites produced by probiotics have anti-inflammatory effects [11-13]. The role of probiotics in controlling both chronic inflammatory diseases and burn infections has been approved in some studies [4, 14-16]. In addition, probiotics could eliminate pathogenic microbes and improve wound healing [12]. *Lactobacilli* are non-pathogenic, Gram-positive bacteria classified as lactic acid-producing probiotics [17]. Some studies have shown that some strains of probiotics may be useful in wound healing [18]. Research has shown that *Lactobacillus* supernatants promote inflammatory responses and angiogenesis and also stimulate proliferation of embryonic cells during the tissue repair in rodents [17, 19-20]. *L. plantarum* and its products have antibacterial properties and could inhibit the growth of pathogenic microbes such as *P. aeruginosa* [4, 12]. It has been shown that probiotic therapy could stop bacterial growth after treatment [4]. In addition *L. plantarum* does not produce virulence factor and easily surrenders to the host defenses [21]. Also, some studies have indicated its role in accelerating wound healing process [4, 22-23].

**Objectives:** In this study, the macroscopic and microscopic effects of *L. plantarum* ointment were investigated on infectious burn dermal wound healing in rats.

Materials and methods

**Probiotic preparation:** In this study, the probiotic *L. plantarum* 299v (DSM9843) was used in the experiments. It was incubated in the De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) broth medium anaerobically at 37 °C for 48 to 72 hrs. After growth in MRS broth medium, in order to ensure the purity of probiotic, it was transferred to the MRS agar medium. Then a dilution of $1.5\times10^9$ CFU/mL of bacterium was prepared and kept in sterile conditions at 4°C [24].

**Probiotic supernatant preparation:** For preparation of probiotic supernatant, the dilution of $1.5\times10^9$ CFU/mL of bacterium was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Supernatant and pellet were separated, and the upper liquid was filtered using a 0.22 μ-pore-size filter paper to make sure there is no probiotic. Eventually, cell free supernatant and precipitated cells of probiotic were collected and kept at 4°C until use.

**Animals:** Fifty male adult Wistar rats with the age range of eight to ten weeks and the weight of 200 to 250 g were prepared from the animal Laboratory of Iran University of Medical Sciences. Animals were maintained under controlled conditions with enough water and food in a 12/12 hr light/ dark cycle at 32 ± 2 °C [7].
Induction of second-degree burn wound and inoculation of bacteria: The rats were killed by intraperitoneal injection of xylazine (10 mg/ kg) and ketamine (100 mg/ kg). Then the animals’ hair on the dorsal areas was shaved and disinfected with 70% ethanol. In the next step, the dorsal areas of the animals were exposed to a hot steel rod with a temperature of 95°C and a diameter of 2 cm for 10 sec. To prevent the animals from suffering and to reduce their pain, after the induction of wounds, ketamine was injected daily. Twenty four hours after the induction of burn wounds, the wounds were inoculated with 1 mL of MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical strains resistant to carbapenems ($1.5 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL).

Animal grouping and treatment for each group: Twenty-four hours after the induction of infection, all rats were divided into 5 groups (n=10 each) randomly. The wounds in all animals were treated with an eucerin ointment containing different compositions daily for 7 days, and the treatment was performed on each group as follows: the control group received eucerin ointment + 0.9% NaCl (1g:1mL), the imipenem group received eucerin ointment + imipenem (1g:1mg), the l.p group received eucerin ointment + probiotic cell pellet (1g:10⁹ CFU/mL), the s group received eucerin ointment + probiotic supernatant (1g:1⁷⁹ CFU/mL), and the l.p+s group received eucerin ointment + probiotic cell pellet + probiotic supernatant (1g:1⁷⁹ CFU/mL :1mL).

Evaluation of the wound healing process: All rats were sacrificed through anesthesia using an overdose of xylazine after 7 days of treatment, and the evaluations were conducted as follows.

Macroscopic assessment of the wounds: The burn wounds were assessed 24 hrs after the bacterial inoculation or 48 hrs after the induction of burns (before the initiation of treatment: day 0) and again after 7 days of treatment by measuring the wounds size with the naked eye using a ruler.

Microscopic assessment of the wounds: After the rats were killed on the seventh day of treatment, 2 × 2 cm of the wound skin tissue was removed. The dermal tissues were fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) and 8% NaCl overnight. Then dehydration process was performed through a series of graded alcohol, and the slices were paraffinized. Then they were cut by a microtome with 2 μm thickness and stained with Hematoxylin & Eosin (H&E) and trichrome. Morphological assessment was performed blindly (in terms of tissue type and treatment type) by a skilled pathologist. The essential histological parameters, including inflammation, granulation tissue formation, collagen deposition, re-epithelialization, and neovascularization, were evaluated with original magnification of ×20. The microscopic assessment was performed using an Olympus photomicroscope (PROVIS AX70, Japan) equipped with a digital camera (DP11, Japan). Trauma was graded histologically based on a 4-point semi-quantitative rating scale as follows: Inflammation (absent, 0; scant, 1; moderate, 2; abundant, 3), amount of granulation tissue formation (absent, 0; a few, 1; moderate, 3; abundant, 4), collagen deposition (absent, 0; a few, 1; moderate, 3; abundant, 4), re-epithelialization (absent, 0; partial, 1; thin, 2; thick, 4), neovascularization (absent, 0; a few, 1; moderate, 2; many, 3).

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed by SPSS software, Version 20. Comparisons between different groups were performed using One-way ANOVA and Turkey’s post hoc tests. The $p$ value < .05 was considered as statistically significant.

Findings
Wound size examination: The mean size of the wound was measured and evaluated the day before (0) and after 7 days of treatment (Fig. 1). The findings indicated that after 7 days of treatment, the mean wound size in the probiotic cell pellet group was significantly lower than in the control ($p = .001$) and imipenem groups ($p = .000$). Also, the mean size of the wound in the probiotic supernatant group was significantly lower than in the imipenem group ($p = .04$).
Since no significant difference was observed between different groups on Day 0, in order to evaluate the effects of different treatments on wound healing, after 7 days of treatment, the size of the wound was measured again and compared between various groups as follows: According to the results, there were significant differences between the treatment groups, including the l.p and control ($p = 0.001$), the l.p and imipenem ($p = 0.000$), and the s and imipenem ($p = 0.04$) groups. Statistical method of ANOVA was followed by Tukey’s post Hoc test.

Table Guide: control, NaCl; imipenem, antibiotic; l.p, probiotic ($L.\ plantarum\ 299v$) cell pellet; s, probiotic supernatant; l.p+s, probiotic cell pellet + probiotic supernatant.

**Histological examination:** Histological parameters such as inflammation, amount of granulation tissue formation, collagen deposition, re-epithelialization, and neovascularization were evaluated in all burn wound specimens and delaminated based on the histological scoring system (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1 and Figure 1ae, the epithelialization defect was obviously observed in the control group. In this group, re-epithelialization was not completed, and the wound was not closed. In addition, inflammatory cells and fibroblasts infiltration was extensive, and collagen deposition was significant. In the imipenem group, epithelium defect was observed with necrosis, cellular infiltration was very little, and granulation tissue was observed above the subcutaneous fat. In the probiotic cell pellet group, the re-epithelialization was completed in the wound, and the thickness increased significantly, indicating that the wound was closed in this group. In addition, cellular infiltration was very little, granulation tissue was observed above the subcutaneous fat, vascular tissue was created increasingly in the bed of the wound, and collagen deposition was significant. In the probiotic supernatant group, accumulation of granulation tissue was moderate, and the re-epithelialization and wound closure were completed. In addition, inflammatory cell and fibroblasts infiltration was observed extensively, and there was collagen deposition in this group. Moreover, in the probiotic cell pellet + probiotic supernatant group, the re-epithelialization was completed in the wound, and the thickness in the epithelial of this group was moderate. In addition, inflammatory cell and fibroblasts infiltration and collagen deposition were demonstrated, and granulation tissue with predominant collagen fibers was observed in this group.
Table 1) The survey of parameters affecting wound healing in the skin after 7 days of treatment in burned rats (based on the histological scoring system)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Imipenem</th>
<th>L.p</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>L.p+s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>histologic parameters</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulation tissue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen deposition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-epithelialization</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neovascularization</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
In this study, macroscopic and microscopic assessments of the wounds indicated that wound healing in the areas treated with *L. plantarum* were more than in the control and antibiotic groups. Previous research has shown that topical application of lactic acid bacteria as probiotic could prevent the colonization of pathogens and wound infection by different mechanisms \[^3, 29-30]\ associated with wound healing.

In the current study, the mean size of the

Figure 2) Photomicrograph of skin sections after 7 days of treatment in burned rats by H&E staining and X20 magnification.

Inflammation and collagen deposition in the control group (a), Extensive inflammation and low granulation tissue in the imipenem group (b), Re-epithelialization, granulation tissue, collagen deposition, neovascularization, and inflammation in the probiotic cell pellet group (c), Re-epithelialization, granulation tissue, collagen deposition, and inflammation in the probiotic supernatant group (d), Re-epithelialization, granulation tissue, collagen deposition, and inflammation in the probiotic cell pellet + probiotic supernatant group (e).
burn wounds in the *L. plantarum* group was less than in the control and antibiotic groups after 7 days of treatment. Also, the mean size of the burn wounds was less in the probiotic cell pellet recipient group in comparison with probiotic supernatant group. In this regard, in a study on patients with second and 3rd-degree burn wounds, Peral et al. (2019) showed that dermal treatment with *L. plantarum* may reduce the bacterial count in the wounds [31].

Also, Carol Nhan et al. (2017) indicated that *L. plantarum* could reduce the *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* growth in the wounds [32]. Moreover, the use of lactic acid bacteria as probiotic may accelerate wound healing process and reduce infection by different mechanisms [29]. *L. plantarum* could accelerate wound healing process by reducing the duration of inflammatory response [33]. Probiotics have the ability to naturally stimulate the skin’s immune response, thereby enhancing skin repair and healing [34]. Also, one of the functional mechanisms of probiotic is their ability to rival with pathogenic bacteria [35]. Therefore, it seems that wound healing is better done using probiotic bacteria. But when bacteria are not present and bacterial supernatant is used, there is no competition between probiotics and pathogens. Although in macroscopic observation, better results were expected in terms of wound closure in the probiotic cell pellet + probiotic supernatant group, the wound tissue analysis results showed epithelial thickness and skin healing. By producing lactic acid, hydrogen peroxide, and acetic acid, probiotics play a key role in inhibiting the pathogens growth [36]. In this study, the wound closure was less in the imipenem group than in the control group, while this antibiotic is commonly used in the treatment of burn wounds nowadays. In fact, inappropriate use of antibiotics could cause antibiotic resistance in bacteria [37]. However, more research is needed about this issue. In the current study, some skin histological factors were evaluated, and it was found that re-epithelialization, granulation tissue formation, and collagen deposition were better in the probiotic cell pellet group than in the control and imipenem groups. In this regard, Barzegari et al. (2017) indicated that 3, 7, and 14 days after the burn induction, the rate of epithelialization was higher in the *L. acidophilus* group than in the control group [3].

In another study on the use of *L. rhamnosus* ointment for second-degree burn wound in rat in 2018, it was determined that *L. rhamnosus* elevated the rate of fibroblastic migration and re-epithelialization in the wound [15]. In addition, local application of *L. plantarum* could promote wound healing by enhancing collagen synthesis; also, probiotic could increase the number of fibroblasts and TGF-β level. TGF-β is an important peptide which controls wound healing, migration of inflammatory cells to the wound, and promotion of collagen [12, 38]. Therefore, *L. plantarum* may be a safe, effective, and inexpensive alternative to wound healing.

Also, in this study, it was found that inflammation was less in all *L. plantarum* treated groups compared to the group receiving antibiotic (Table1). This result is in accordance with the results of the previous studies indicating that some strains of probiotics have anti-inflammatory effects [3, 39-40]. Also, Benedetta Cinque et al. (2011) said that probiotics could increase collagenesis and hyaluronic acid, which aid to reduce inflammation and heal wound [4].

**Conclusion**

Overall, the macroscopic results of this study supported the microscopic findings and determined that the mean size of the burn wounds in the *L. plantarum* group was less than in the control and imipenem groups after 7 days of treatment, and in the same day, histological parameters related to skin repair were better in the probiotic group than in the control and antibiotic groups. Also, inflammation in the probiotic cell pellet group was less than in the control and antibiotic groups.
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