Prevalence of ESBLs and Biofilm Formation in *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Urinary Tract Infection in Isfahan, Iran

Tarbiat Modares University

ARTICLE INFO

Authors
Elham Haghighifar, *MSc*[1]
Ali Akbar Rezaei, *PhD*[1*]

How to cite this article

[1] Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

ABSTRACT

Backgrounds: Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* is a Gram-negative bacillus that is the most common cause of urinary tract infection. *E. coli* has the ability to produce biofilm as an important virulence factor. Due to the lack of sufficient information about ESBL resistance genes in this geographical area, this study aimed to investigate the prevalence of ESBLs in *E. coli* isolates to increase our knowledge about the role of these genes and biofilm formation in inducing resistance.

Materials & Methods: 139 *E. coli* strains were isolated from urine samples. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed for the isolates by disk diffusion method. ESBL production was confirmed using double-disk synergy test. Molecular detection of ESBL genes was performed using PCR. Biofilm formation assay was performed by microtiter plate method.

Findings: The most effective antibiotic against this bacterium was nitrofurantoin. Multidrug resistance was observed in 119 (85.6%) isolates. ESBL phenotype was detected in 93 (66.9%) isolates. The PCR test results showed that bla_{CTX}, bla_{VEB}, and bla_{TEM} were positive in 45 (32.4%), 87 (62.6%), and 10 (7.2%) isolates, respectively. The biofilm formation assay results revealed that 65 (46.8%), 58 (41.7%), 10 (7.2%), and six (4.3%) isolates were non-, weak, moderate, and strong biofilm producers, respectively.

Conclusions: The high prevalence of ESBL genes is a public health concern in this region because they could be transmitted to other susceptible bacteria and induce resistance. This study showed that biofilm production could increase antibiotic resistance.

Keywords: *Escherichia coli, ESBLs, Biofilm formation, Antibiotic resistant.*

CITATION LINKS

[1] Niranjan V, Malini A. Antimicrobial resistance pattern in ... [2] Emody L, Kerényi M, Nagy G. Virulence factors of ... [3] Mishra MP, Debata NK, Padhy RN. Surveillance ... [4] Laupland K, Ross T, Pitout J, Church D, Gregson D. Community-onset urinary ... [5] Komijani M, Bouzari M, Rahimi ... [6] Johora FT, Ahmed Z. Bacteriological study of ... [7] Ramadan AA, Abdelaziz NA, Amin MA, Aziz RK. Novel blaCTX-M variants and genotype-phenotype ... [8] Heidary M, Momtaz H, Madani M. Characterization ... [9] Lu TK, Koeris MS. The next ... [10] Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum ... [11] SarojGolia D, Hittinahalli V, Karjigi SK, Reddy KM. Correlation ... [12] Shaikh S, Fatima J, Shakil S, Rizvi SM, Kamal MA. Antibiotic ... [13] Raya S, Belbase A, Dhakal L, Govinda Prajapati K, Baidya R. In-vitro ... [14] Gawad WE, Helmy OM, Tawakkol WM, Hashem AM. Antimicrobial ... [15] Soto SM. Role of efflux pumps in ... [16] Tiba MR, Yano T, da Silva Leite D. Genotypic ... [17] Patel JB, Cockerill FR, Bradford PA. Performance ... [18] Anvarinejad M, Pouladfar GR, Pourabbas B, Shahidi MA, Rafaatpour N, ... [19] Chen J, Griffiths M. PCR differentiation ... [20] Tahanasab Z, Mobasherizadeh S, Moghadampour M, Rezaei A, Maleki N, Faghri J. High prevalence ... [21] Maleki N, Tahanasab Z, Mobasherizadeh S, Rezaei A, Faghri J. Prevalence ... [22] Moghadampour M, Rezaei A, Faghri J. The emergence of blaOXA48- and ... [23] Hedlund M, Duan RD, Nilsson A, Svensson M, Karpman D, Svanborg C. Fimbriae, transmembrane ... [24] Anusha SU, Sundar SK. ESBL& biofilm-producing ... [25] Tayal RA, Baveja SM, De AS. Analysis of biofilm formation and ... [26] Tang X, Zhao Z, Hu J, Wu B, Cai X, He Q, et al. Isolation, antimicrobial ... [27] Sharif MR, Alizargar J, Sharif A. Antimicrobial resistance ... [28] Fallah F, Karimi A, Goudarzi M, Shiva F, Navidinia M, Hadipour Jahromi M, et al. Determination ... [29] Ibrahim M, Bilal N, Hamid M. Increased multi-drug ... [30] Farzi S, Ranjbar R, Niakan M, Ahmadi MH. Molecular ... [31] Pitout JD, Hossain A, Hanson ND. Phenotypic and ... [32] Farshad S, Japoni N, Hosseini MJ. Low distribution ... [33] Rodriguez-Bano J, Alcalá J, Cisneros JM, Grill F, Oliver A, Horcajada JP, et al. Escherichia ... [34] Risal G, Shrestha A, Kunwar S, Paudel G, Dhital R, Budha MB, et al. Detection ... [35] Hassan A, Usman J, Kaleem F, Omair M, Khalid A, Iqbal M. Evaluation of different ... [36] Tajbakhsh E, Ahmadi P, Abedpour-Dehkordi E, Arbab-Soleimani N, Khamesipour F. Biofilm ...

* Correspondence
Iran, Isfahan, Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences
Email: Hsrdarman@sums.ac.ir

Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common infections usually caused by Gram-negative bacteria [1]. Various factors such as kidney stones, diabetes, and immune deficiency could increase the risk of this infection [2]. Approximately 150 million UTI cases are reported annually [3]. The most common cause of urinary tract infection is uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) [4]. *E. coli* strains are Gram-negative bacilli that live in the intestines of healthy individuals. *E. coli* has the ability to cause both community-acquired and hospital-acquired infections. These bacteria could cause diseases such as meningitis, bacteremia, urinary tract infections, and wound infections [5, 6]. In developing countries, some factors such as over-the-counter drug use and incorrect drug policies increase antibiotic resistance in bacteria [7]. On the other hand, factors such as conjunct journey, horizontal gene transfer, and bacterial evolution increase the global burden of diseases caused by antibiotic-resistant pathogens. In addition, the acquisition of antibiotic resistance by pathogenic bacteria increases the virulence of these bacteria [8, 9]. The most important genetic factors involved in increasing resistance include: genetic mutations and mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, insertion sequences, and transposons. Currently, multidrug resistance to antimicrobial agents in nosocomial pathogens has become a major concern of the World Health Organization (WHO) [8]. Among the most important antibiotics used to treat these infections are beta-lactams. Unfortunately, resistance to these antibiotics has increased dramatically due to overuse of these antibiotics. ESBL (extended-spectrum beta-lactamase) production is one of the most common factors increasing resistance to this class of antibiotics. The most common type of ESBL is bla_{CTX-M}, and other important ESBL types are bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV} [10]. These ESBL types belong to Ambler's class A / Bush's group 2be. This class induces resistance to penicillins, cephalosporins (except cephamycin), and monobactams [7]. Bla_{CTX-M} gene induces resistance to cefotaxime, and bla_{TEM} gene causes resistance to penicillin and first-generation cephalosporins [8, 11, 12]. Studies in Iran have shown that the prevalence of ESBL genes including bla_{TEM}, bla_{CTX-M}, and bla_{VEB} among clinical isolates of *E. coli* is about 51, 45, and 10%, respectively [8]. Several studies have demonstrated that the prevalence of ESBLs in developing countries such as East Africa (42%), Pakistan (40%), Israel (> 50%), and China (46%) is higher than in developed countries including German (4 to 12%) and US (4 to 12%) [14].

E. coli has the ability to produce biofilm, which is considered as an important virulence factor contributing to the stability and recurrence of the disease. Biofilm is a community of microbes that irreversibly adhere to a surface and secrete an extracellular matrix surrounding them [13]. *E. coli* biofilm is involved in several diseases caused by this organism and increases antibiotic resistance in this bacterium. Compared to planktonic bacteria, the bacteria in the biofilm exhibit a different behavior when exposed to antibiotics. Biofilms restrict antibiotic access to these bacteria, facilitate the transfer of resistance genes, and more efficiently pump efflux genes. As a result, the bacteria in the biofilm are 100 to 1000 times more resistant to antibiotics [14, 15].

Objectives: Considering the above mentioned points and the different causes of drug resistance in different geographical areas, this study aimed to investigate the prevalence of ESBLs in *E. coli* isolates. The results may contribute to our understanding of antibiotic resistance, ESBL genes involved in drug resistance in this geographical region, and also the role of biofilms in enhancing antibiotic resistance.

Material and Methods

Patients and clinical specimens: The specimens used in this study were collected from Khorshid hospital in Isfahan during April 2018 to March 2019. After collection, accurate identification of organisms was done by routine culture and biochemical tests in the microbiology laboratory of the Faculty of Medical Sciences [16]. After accurate identification, the isolates were stored at -80 °C. This study was evaluated and approved by the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing: Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed for the isolates against antibiotics discs (MAST, UK and Liofilchem, Italy) including tetracycline (30 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), ceftriaxone (10 μg), cefotaxime (10 μg), nitrofurantoin (10 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), cefepime (30 μg), sulfamethoxazole (10 μg), amikacin (30 μg), and imipenem (10 μg) based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) principles [17]. In this experiment, *E. coli* ATCC 25922 strain was used as the control.

ESBLs screening assay: Double disk synergy test was used for phenotypic identification of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Cefotaxime (10 μg) and cefotaxime-clavulanic acid antibiotic discs were placed at a distance of 24 mm on Mueller Hinton agar medium. After incubation at 35 °C for 18 hrs, the growth inhibition zone diameter was measured. If the difference in inhibition zone diameter between cefotaxime and cefotaxime-clavulanic acid antibiotic discs was more than 5 mm, it was considered as a broad-spectrum beta-lactamase producing strain [18].

Biofilm formation assay: Microtiter plate assay was carried out in triplicate to evaluate the biofilm formation ability of all assessed strains; the mean and standard deviation of all experiments were calculated. The isolates were incubated aerobically in a 96-well microtiter plate containing tryptic soy broth (TSB) and glucose at 37 °C for 24–18 hours. Then the supernatant was discarded, and the wells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Then the remaining attached bacteria were fixed with 300 μL of ethanol. The OD (optical density) values of the isolates coating the walls of the wells were measured using an ELISA reader after staining with crystal violet. At the end, the isolates were classified as non- (non-adherent), weak (weakly adherent), and strong (strongly adherent) biofilm producers according to the observed OD values [14].

DNA extraction and PCR: DNA of all samples was extracted by phenol chloroform method and used to evaluate resistance genes. PCR test was performed for *uspA*,

Table 1) List of primers, expected amplicon sizes, and annealing temperatures

Target gene	Primer sequence (5′–3′)	Amplicon size (bp)	Annealing temperature (°C)	References
uspA	*uspA-F* CCGATACGCTGCCAATCAGT	884	52	[19]
	uspA-R ACGCAGACCGTAGGCCAGAT			
*bla*_{TEM}	*TEM*–F TTTCGTGTCGCCCTTATTCC	403	58	[20]
	TEM–R ATCGTTGTCAGAAGTAAGTTGG			
*bla*_{CTX}	*CTX*-F CGCTGTTGTTAGGAAGTGTG	569	55	[21]
	CTX-R GGCTGGGTGAAGTAAGTGAC			
*bla*_{VEB}	*Veb*-F CGACTTCCATTTCCCGATGC	585	54	[22]
	Veb-R GGACTCTGCAACAAATACGC			

Table 2) Demographic information and risk factors in hospitalized patients

Risk factor	Frequency(percent)
women	53(60.9)
men	34(39.1)
History of hospitalization	21(24.1)
The use of antibiotics in the last 3 months	32(36.8)
Use catheter now	12(13.8)
Use catheter in the past	14(16.2)
Surgical history	19(21.9)
History of urinary tract infection	31(35.6)
History of prostate inflammation	12(13.8)

Table 3) Antibiotic susceptibility of *E. coli* isolates (n = 139)

Antibiotics	Resistant (n %)	Intermediate (n %)	Susceptible (n %)
Tetracycline	111(79.9)	0(0)	28(20.1)
Gentamicin	50(36)	6(4.3)	83(59.7)
Ceftriaxone	95(68.3)	5(3.6)	39(28.01)
Cefotaxime	94(67.6)	0(0)	45(32.04)
Nitrofurantoin	15(10.8)	2(1.4)	122(87.8)
Ciprofloxacin	81(58.3)	1(0.7)	57(41.5)
Cefepime	54(38.8)	31(22.3)	54(38.8)
Sulfomethoxazole	117(84.2)	0(0)	22(15.8)
Amikacin	18(12.9)	11(7.9)	110(79.1)
Imipenem	42(30.2)	34(24.5)	63(45.3)

bla_{TEM}, bla_{CTX}, and bla_{VEB} genes. The names of the genes examined and the primers used for this purpose are listed in Table 1. PCR test was performed in a total volume of 25 µL using Master Mix Amplicon (Denmark). PCR amplification was performed in a thermocycler under the following thermal cycling conditions: an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of DNA denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, specific annealing temperature for each primer for 1 min (Table 1), extension at 72 °C for 2 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel.

Statistical Analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software Version 25.0 (IBM Corp., USA). The association between genes involved in biofilm formation and also the amount of biofilm formation by *E. coli* isolates with different antibiotic resistance phenotypes were evaluated by Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests. The analysis was performed with a confidence level of 95%. *P* values < .05 were considered statistically significant.

Findings

During the study period, 139 *E. coli* strains were isolated from urine samples (Figure 1). Among which, 97 (69.8%) cases were isolated from females, and 42 (30.2%) cases were isolated from males. Also, 87 (62.6%) strains were collected from inpatients, and

52 (37.4%) isolates were collected from outpatients. The frequency distribution of demographic information about hospitalized patients is shown in Table 2. The antibiotic resistance test results are shown in Table 3. According to the results, the most effective antibiotic against this bacterium was nitrofurantoin, followed by amikacin and gentamicin, respectively. Sulfamethoxazole and tetracycline had the least effects on these strains. According to the results, 119 (85.6%) isolates were MDR (multidrug-resistant).

All the isolates were evaluated for the presence of ESBLs by phenotypic methods. According to the results, 93 (66.9%) isolates showed a positive phenotype using the DDST (double disk synergy test) method.

A total of 74 (53.2%) isolates were able to produce biofilms. Of these 74 isolates, 58 (53.2%) isolates were weak biofilm producers, 10 (13.5%) isolates were intermediate biofilm producers, and six (8.1%) isolates were strong biofilm producers.

The *uspA* gene was recognized in all the isolates. All 139 isolates were tested for ESBLs genotype by PCR (polymerase chain reaction) assay. According to the results, bla_{CTX}, bla_{VEB}, and bla_{TEM} were positive in 45 (32.4%), 87 (62.6%), and 10 (7.2%) isolates, respectively (Figure 2-4). All ESBL-producing isolates had ESBL genes. All three ESBL genes were observed in nine isolates, and one isolate harbored both bla_{TEM} and bla_{VEB} genes, and 30 isolates harbored both bla_{TEM} and bla_{CTX}. Also, eight isolates had extended-spectrum beta-lactamase genes that were not expressed in phenotypic experiments.

Statistical analysis indicated a significant correlation between biofilm production and resistance to tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, and imipenem (*p*< .05). There was also a significant correlation between the presence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases and bla_{CTX} and bla_{TEM} (*p*< .001).


Figure 1) PCR product electrophoresis of *P. E. coli uspA* gene in agarose gel
Bands 1 - 3 of *E. coli* clinical specimens, Band M Ladder 100bp, band 4 positive control, band 5 negative control

Figure 2) PCR product electrophoresis of *P. E. coli* bla_{TEM} gene in agarose gel
Bands 1 - 3 of *E. coli* clinical specimens, Band M Ladder 100bp, band 4 positive control, band 5 negative control

Figure 3) PCR product electrophoresis of *P. E. coli bla*CTX gene in agarose gel
Bands 1 and 2 of *E. coli* clinical specimens, band 3 positive control, Band M Ladder 100bp, band 4 negative control

Figure 4) PCR product electrophoresis of *P. E. coli bla*VEB gene in agarose gel
Bands 1 - 4 of *E. coli* clinical specimens, Band M Ladder 100bp, band 5 negative control, band 6 positive control

Discussion

Urinary tract infections are one of the major threats to global health due to widespread antibiotic resistance and high rates of recurrent infections [23]. *E. coli* is the most common cause of urinary tract infection in both inpatient and outpatient groups. If these types of infections are not treated, they infect higher areas and cause cysts, pyelonephritis, and finally kidney disease [24]. It is estimated that uropathogenic *E. coli* is responsible for 70 to 90% of urinary tract infections. Antibiotic resistance of these organisms is different in different geographical areas. In most cases, the long-term persistence of these organisms in different geographical conditions is due to the production of biofilm. On the other hand, biofilm production significantly increases antibiotic resistance in these bacteria [25]. The rate of resistance to common antibiotics is increasing, and this is due to the global spread of multidrug-resistant organisms [26]. This study results showed that 85.6% of the isolates were MDR, which is higher than the mean prevalence of MDR in Iran. This result is consistent with the results reported by Sharif and colleagues (2013) in Kashan and Fallah et al. (2012) in Tehran, showing high levels of MDR among the isolates [27, 28]. Ibrahim and colleagues (2012) in Saudi Arabia showed that the prevalence of MDR among UPEC isolates was 74%, which is similar to this study result [29]. One of the most important factors increasing resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics such as broad-spectrum cephalosporins is the presence of beta-lactamase genes. In recent years, ESBL-producing bacteria have caused many problems in the field of health, highlighting the need for new techniques to identify these bacteria in hospitals. A phenotypic method is a useful technique to differentiate between isolates producing ESBLs and isolates using other mechanisms for resistance to beta-lactams [30, 31]. In this study, the frequency of

ESBLs based on phenotypic and genotypic methods was determined to be 66.9 and 73.4%, respectively. The higher prevalence rate obtained using the genotypic method could be due to the higher sensitivity of this method compared to the phenotypic method. Another reason is the presence of isolates which possess these genes but do not express them. In this study, the frequency of bla_{CTX}, bla_{VEB}, and bla_{TEM} was 32.4, 62.6, and 7.2%, respectively. In a study by Komijani and colleagues (2017), the prevalence of bla_{CTX} and bla_{TEM} was 38.4 and 22%, the prevalence of the bla_{CTX} gene is approximately similar to the present study result [5]. Farshad and colleagues (2008) conducted a study in Shiraz and reported a prevalence of 28, 8, and 49% for bla_{CTX}, bla_{VEB}, and bla_{TEM}, respectively, which are similar to this study results [32]. The frequency of bla_{TEM} gene is similar to our study result, while the frequency of bla_{CTX} is higher than that reported in a study by Rodríguez-Baño et al. (2009) in Spanish [33]. According to the results, it was found that the frequency of these genes is different in different regions of Iran and other parts of the world. Biofilm formation is an important virulence factor contributing to bacterial colonization and resistance to antibiotics. According to the present study results, 53.2% of the isolates were able to produce biofilm, and a significant relationship was found between biofilm formation and resistance to tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, and imipenem. Risal et al. (2018) in Nepal and Hassan et al. (2011) in Pakistan have also reported a prevalence of 64% for biofilm producing isolates, which is close to this study result [34, 35]. Risal et al. (2018) [34] showed that among biofilm-producing isolates, the highest resistance was observed to cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, and amoxicillin, which is inconsistent with the present study result. In another study conducted by Tajbakhsh et al. (2016) in Iran, the highest resistance in biofilm-producing

isolates was observed against ampicillin and tetracycline, which is somewhat consistent with our study result [36].

In conclusion, urinary tract infections are one of the most important infections affecting both inpatients and outpatients. The present study evaluated the risk factors, antibiotic resistance, ESBL production, as well as biofilm production in *E. coli* strains in Isfahan. The high prevalence of ESBL genes in these isolates is considered as a public health concern in this region because they could be transmitted to other susceptible bacteria and induce resistance. Finally, this study results showed that biofilm production could increase antibiotic resistance.

References
1. Niranjan V, Malini A. Antimicrobial resistance pattern in *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infection among inpatients. Indian J Med Res. 2014;139(6):945-8.

2. Emody L, Kerényi M, Nagy G. Virulence factors of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Int J Antimicrob. 2003;22(Suppl 2):29-33.

3. Mishra MP, Debata NK, Padhy RN. Surveillance of multidrug resistant uropathogenic bacteria in hospitalized patients in Indian. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2013;3(4):315-24

4. Laupland K, Ross T, Pitout J, Church D, Gregson D. Community-onset urinary tract infections: A population-based assessment. Infection. 2007;35(3:(150-3.

5. Komijani M, Bouzari M, Rahimi F. Detection of bla_{TEM}, bla_{SHV}, and bla_{CTX-M} antibiotic resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates from infected wounds. Med Lab J. 2017;11(2):30-5.

6. Johora FT, Ahmed Z. Bacteriological study of post-operative abdominal wound infection-a case study. Bangladesh J Medical Sci. 2013;12(1):86-90.

7. Ramadan AA, Abdelaziz NA, Amin MA, Aziz RK. Novel bla_{CTX-M} variants and genotype-phenotype correlations among clinical isolates of extended spectrum beta lactamase-producing Escherichia coli. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):1-2.

8. Heidary M, Momtaz H, Madani M. Characterization of diarrheagenic antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from pediatric patients in Tehran, Iran. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2014;16(4):e12329.

9. Lu TK, Koeris MS. The next generation of bacteriophage therapy. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2011;14(5):524-31.

10. Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: A clinical update. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2005;18(4):657-86.

11. SarojGolia D, Hittinahalli V, Karjigi SK, Reddy KM. Correlation between biofilm formation of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and its antibiotic resistance pattern. J Evol Med Den Sci. 2012;1(3):166.

12. Shaikh S, Fatima J, Shakil S, Rizvi SM, Kamal MA. Antibiotic resistance and extended spectrum beta-lactamases: Types, epidemiology, and treatment. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2015;22(1):90-101.

13. Raya S, Belbase A, Dhakal L, Govinda Prajapati K, Baidya R. In-vitro biofilm formation and antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* in diabetic and nondiabetic patients. Biomed Res Int. 2019;2019.

14. Gawad WE, Helmy OM, Tawakkol WM, Hashem AM. Antimicrobial resistance, biofilm formation, and phylogenetic grouping of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates in Egypt: The role of efflux pump-mediated resistance. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2018;11(2):e14444.

15. Soto SM. Role of efflux pumps in the antibiotic resistance of bacteria embedded in a biofilm. Virulence. 2013;4(3):223-9.

16. Tiba MR, Yano T, da Silva Leite D. Genotypic characterization of virulence factors in *Escherichia coli* strains from patients with cystitis. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo. 2008;50(5):255-60.

17. Patel JB, Cockerill FR, Bradford PA. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Twenty-fifth informational supplement. Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2015.

18. Anvarinejad M, Pouladfar GR, Pourabbas B, Shahidi MA, Rafaatpour N, Dehyadegari MA, et al. Detection of Salmonella spp. with the BACTEC 9240 automated blood culture system in 2008-2014 in southern Iran (Shiraz): Biogrouping, MIC, and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of isolates. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2016;9(4):e26505.

19. Chen J, Griffiths M. PCR differentiation of *Escherichia coli* from other Gram-negative bacteria using primers derived from the nucleotide sequences flanking the gene encoding the universal stress protein. Lett Appl Microbiol. 1998;27(6):369-71.

20. Tahanasab Z, Mobasherizadeh S, Moghadampour M, Rezaei A, Maleki N, Faghri J. High prevalence of multiple drug resistance among ESBLs-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from hospitalized patients in Isfahan, Iran. J Med Bacteriol. 2016;5(5-6):29-38.

21. Maleki N, Tahanasab Z, Mobasherizadeh S, Rezaei A, Faghri J. Prevalence of bla_{CTX-M} and TEM β-lactamases in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from patients with urinary tract infection, Al-Zahra hospital, Isfahan, Iran. Adv Biomed Res. 2018;7:10.

22. Moghadampour M, Rezaei A, Faghri J. The emergence of bla_{OXA-48} and bla_{NDM} among ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in clinical isolates of a tertiary hospital in Iran. Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung. 2018;65(3):335-44.

23. Hedlund M, Duan RD, Nilsson A, Svensson M, Karpman D, Svanborg C. Fimbriae, transmembrane signaling, and cell activation. J Infect Dis. 2001;183(Suppl 1):S47-50.

24. Fallah F, Karimi A, Goudarzi M, Shiva F, Navidinia M, Hadipour Jahromi M and et al. "Determination of integron frequency by a polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism method in multidrug-resistant Escherichia coli, which causes Urinary tract infections," Microbial Drug Resistance, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 546-549, 2012.

25. Tayal RA, Baveja SM, De AS. Analysis of biofilm formation and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of uropathogens in patients admitted in a tertiary care hospital in India. Int J Health Allied Sci. 2015;4(4):247-52

26. Tang X, Zhao Z, Hu J, Wu B, Cai X, He Q, et al. Isolation, antimicrobial resistance, and virulence genes of *Pasteurella multocida* strains from swine in China. J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47(4):951-8.

27. Sharif MR, Alizargar J, Sharif A. Antimicrobial resistance among Gram-negative bacteria isolated from different samples of patients admitted to a university hospital in Kashan, Iran. Adv Biol Res. 2013;7(5):199-202.

28. Fallah F, Karimi A, Goudarzi M, Shiva F, Navidinia M, Hadipour Jahromi M, et al. Determination of integron frequency by a polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism method in multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli*, which causes urinary tract infections. Microb Drug Resist. 2012;18(6):546-9.

29. Ibrahim M, Bilal N, Hamid M. Increased multi-drug resistant *Escherichia coli* from hospitals in Khartoum state, Sudan. Afr Health Sci. 2012;12(3):368-75.

30. Farzi S, Ranjbar R, Niakan M, Ahmadi MH. Molecular characterization of antibiotic resistance associated with bla_{TEM} and bla_{CTX-M} ESBL in uropathogenic *E. coli* strains isolated from outpatients. Iran J Pathol. 2021;16(4):386-91.

31. Pitout JD, Hossain A, Hanson ND. Phenotypic and molecular detection of bla_{CTX-M}-β-lactamases produced by *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella spp*. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(12):5715-21.

32. Farshad S, Japoni N, Hosseini MJ. Low distribution of integrons among multidrug resistant *E. coli* strains isolated from children with community-acquired urinary tract infections in Shiraz. Pol J Microbiol. 2008;57(3):193-8.

33. Rodriguez-Bano J, Alcalá J, Cisneros JM, Grill F, Oliver A, Horcajada JP, et al. *Escherichia coli* producing *bla*SHV-type extended-spectrum β-lactamase is a significant cause of community-acquired infection. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009;63(4):781-4 .

34. Risal G, Shrestha A, Kunwar S, Paudel G, Dhital R, Budha MB, et al. Detection of biofilm formation by *Escherichia coli* with its antibiogram profile. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2018;5(9):3771-5.

35. Hassan A, Usman J, Kaleem F, Omair M, Khalid A, Iqbal M. Evaluation of different detection methods of biofilm formation in the clinical isolates. Braz J Infect Dis. 2011;15(4):305-11.

36. Tajbakhsh E, Ahmadi P, Abedpour-Dehkordi E, Arbab-Soleimani N, Khamesipour F. Biofilm formation, antimicrobial susceptibility, serogroups, and virulence genes of uropathogenic *E. coli* isolated from clinical samples in Iran. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2016;5(1):1-8.